# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

\_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		POST TRIAL
	Plaintiff,	JURY INSTRUCTIONS
v. JOHNNY ESPINOZA	A,	12-cr-104-bbc
	Defendant.	

Members of the jury, you have seen and heard all the evidence and the arguments of the attorneys. Now I will instruct you on the law.

## CONSIDERATION OF THE EVIDENCE

All of the introductory instructions that I gave you at the beginning of this trial still are in effect. I will give you copies of those instructions to take back to the jury room with you.

You have received evidence of a statement said to be made by the defendant to
You must decide whether the defendant did make the statement. If
you find that the defendant did make the statement, then you must decide what weight,
if any, you believe the statement deserves. In making this decision, you should consider
all matters in evidence having to do with the statement, including those concerning the
defendant himself, and the circumstances under which the statement was made.

In deciding the believability of witnesses, you should judge defendant's testimony in the same way as you judge the testimony of any other witness.

The defendant has an absolute right not to testify. In arriving at your verdict, you must not consider the fact that the defendant did not testify.

You have heard evidence of acts of the defendant other than those charged in the
indictment. Specifically, You may consider this evidence
only on the questions of You should consider this evidence
only for this limited purpose.
You have heard evidence that have been convicted of crimes. You
may consider this evidence only in deciding whether the testimony of any of these
witnesses is truthful in whole, in part, or not at all. You may not consider this evidence
for any other purpose.
You have heard evidence that the defendant has been convicted of crimes. You
may consider this evidence only in deciding whether the defendant's testimony is
truthful in whole, in part, or not at all. You may not consider it for any other purpose.
A conviction of another crime is not evidence of the defendant's guilt of the crime for
which the defendant now is charged.
You have heard [reputation/opinion] evidence about the character trait of
for truthfulness [or untruthfulness]. You should consider this evidence in deciding the
weight that you will give to's testimony.

You have heard [reputation and/or opinion] evidence about the defendant's character trait for [truthfulness, peacefulness, etc]. You should consider character evidence together with all the other evidence in the case and in the same way.

You have heard evidence that before the trial, witnesses made statements that may be inconsistent with their testimony here in court. If you find that it is inconsistent, you may consider the earlier statement only in deciding the truthfulness and accuracy of that witness's testimony in this trial. You may not use it as evidence of the truth of the matters contained in that prior statement. If that statement was made under oath, you may also consider it as evidence of the truth of the matters contained in that prior statement.

You have heard testimony from \_\_\_\_\_\_ who each stated that he or she was involved in the commission of the alleged crime charged against the defendant. You may give the testimony of these witnesses such weight as you believe it deserves, keeping in mind that it must be considered with caution and great care.

The witnesses have pleaded gu	uilty to a crime arising out of the
same allegations for which the defendant is now on tria	al. You may give the testimony
of these witnesses such weight as you believe it deserve	es, keeping in mind that it must
be considered with caution and great care. Moreo	over, the guilty pleas of these
defendants cannot to be considered as evidence against	t the defendant on trial now.
	1

The witnesses \_\_\_\_\_\_ have received immunity; that is, a promise from the government that any testimony or other information he or she provided would not be used against him in a criminal case. You may give the testimony of these witnesses such weight as you believe it deserves, keeping in mind that it must be considered with caution and great care.

You must consider with caution and great care the testimony of any witness who is currently addicted to drugs. It is up to you to determine whether the testimony of a drug addict has been affect by drug use or the need for drugs.

The witnesses \_\_\_\_\_\_ gave opinions about matters requiring special knowledge or skill. You should judge this testimony in the same way that you judge the testimony of any other witness. The fact that such a person has given an opinion does not mean that you are required to accept it. Give the testimony whatever weight you think it deserves, considering the reasons given for the opinion, the witness' qualifications and all of the other evidence in the case.

Certain summaries are in evidence. They truly and accurately summarize the contents of voluminous books, records or documents, and should be considered together with and in the same way as all other evidence in the case.

Certain summaries are in evidence. Their accuracy has been challenged by the defendant. Thus, the original materials upon which the exhibits are based have also been admitted into evidence so that you may determine whether the summaries are accurate.

You have heard recorded conversations. These recorded conversations are proper evidence and you may consider them, just as any other evidence. When the recordings were played during the trial, you were furnished transcripts of the recorded conversations prepared by government agents. The recordings are the evidence, and the transcripts were provided to you only as a guide to help you follow as you listen to the recordings. The transcripts are not evidence of what was actually said or who said it. It is up to you to decide whether the transcripts correctly reflect what was said and who said it. If you noticed any difference between what you heard on the recordings and what you read in the transcripts, you must rely on what you heard, not what you read. And if after careful listening, you could not hear or understand certain parts of the recordings, you must ignore the transcripts as far as those parts are concerned.

### THE INDICTMENT

The indictment in this case is the formal method of accusing the defendant of offenses and placing the defendant on trial. It is not evidence against the defendant and it does not create any inference of guilt.

The defendant is charged in the indictment as follows:

### COUNT 1

On or about July 24, 2012, in the Western District of Wisconsin, the defendant, Johnny Espinoza, and Jose S. Espinoza knowingly and unlawfully attempted to possess with intent to distribute 500 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing cocaine, a Schedule II controlled substance.

The defendant has entered a plea of not guilty to this charge.

The defendant is not on trial for any act or any conduct not charged in the indictment.

The defendant is presumed to be innocent of the charge against him. This presumption continues during every stage of the trial and your deliberations on the verdict. It is not overcome unless from all the evidence in the case you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty as charged.

The government has the burden of proving the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. This burden of proof stays with the government throughout the case. The defendant is never required to prove his innocence or to produce any evidence at all.

The indictment charges that the offense was committed "on or about" a certain date. The government must prove that the offenses happened reasonably close to those dates but it is not required to prove that the alleged offenses happened on those exact dates.

# **ELEMENTS OF THE CHARGE**

To sustain the charge of attempting knowing possession of cocaine, the government must prove these elements:

- 1. The defendant knowingly acted with the intent to possess cocaine with intent to distribute it; and and
- 2. The defendant knowingly engaged in conduct that constituted a substantial step toward possession of cocaine with intent to distribute it. This substantial step must be an act that strongly corroborates that the defendant intended to carry out the crime of possessing cocaine with intent to distribute it.

If you find from your consideration of all the evidence that each of these propositions has been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, then you should find the defendant guilty.

On the other hand, if you find from your consideration of all the evidence that any of these propositions has not been proved beyond a reasonable doubt,, then you must find the defendant not guilty.

[See United States v. Stallworth, 656 F.3d 721, 728 (7th Cir. 2011); 2012 Seventh Circuit Pattern Criminal Jury Instructions No. 4.09 (at 48).]

### **DEFINITIONS**

You are instructed that cocaine is a Schedule II controlled substance.

The term "knowingly" means that the defendant realized what he was doing and was aware of the nature of his conduct and did not act through ignorance, mistake or accident. In deciding whether the defendant acted knowingly, you may consider all of the evidence, including what the defendant did or said.

Distribution is the transfer of possession from one person to another.

# SPECIAL VERDICT QUESTION ON DRUG AMOUNT

If you find the defendant guilty of Count 1, then you must determine the amount of cocaine involved. There is a special verdict question on this issue. You are to answer this questions only if you find the defendant guilty.

The special verdict question asks whether Count 1 involved an attempt to possess 500 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing cocaine with intent to distribute it. If you find from your consideration of all the evidence that there is proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the attempt to possess cocaine with intent to distribute it charged in Count 1 involved 500 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing cocaine, then you should answer the special verdict question "Yes." If you find from your consideration of all the evidence that there is not proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the attempt to possess cocaine with intent to distribute it charged in Count 1 involved 500 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing cocaine, then you must answer the first special verdict question "No."

## INSTRUCTIONS ON RESPONSIBILITY

By themselves, the defendant's presence at the scene of a crime and knowledge that a crime is being committed are not sufficient to establish the defendant's guilt.

If the defendant performed acts that advanced a criminal activity but had no knowledge that a crime was being committed or was about to be committed, those acts alone are not sufficient to establish the defendant's guilt.

An offense may be committed by more than one person. The defendant's guilt may be established without proof that the defendant personally performed every act constituting the crime charged.

If the defendant knowingly caused the acts of another, the defendant is responsible for those acts as though he personally committed them.

The defendant need not personally perform every act constituting the crime charged. Every person who willfully participates in the commission of a crime may be found guilty.

Whatever a person is legally capable of doing he can do through another person by causing that person to perform the act. If the defendant willfully ordered, directed or authorized the acts of another, then he is responsible for such acts as though he personally committed them.

Any person who knowingly aids, abets, counsels, commands, induces or procures the commission of a crime is guilty of that crime. However, that person must knowingly associate himself with the criminal venture, participate in it and try to make it succeed.

## **DELIBERATIONS**

Upon retiring to the jury room, select one of your number as your presiding juror. This person will preside over your deliberations and will be your representative here in court.

A verdict form has been prepared for you. [Court reads verdict form.]

Take this form to the jury room, and when you have reached unanimous agreement on the verdict, your foreperson will fill in, date and sign the form.

Although you have seen that the trial is being recorded by a court reporter, you should not expect to be able to use trial transcripts in your deliberations. You will have to rely on your own memories.

The verdict must represent the considered judgment of each juror. Whether your verdict is guilty or not guilty, it must be unanimous. You should make every reasonable effort to reach a verdict. In doing so, you should consult with one another, express your own views and listen to the opinions of your fellow jurors. Discuss your differences with an open mind. Do not hesitate to re-examine your own views and change your opinion if you come to believe it is wrong. But do not surrender your honest beliefs about the weight or effect of evidence solely because of the opinions of your fellow jurors or for the purpose of returning a unanimous verdict.

The twelve of you should give fair and equal consideration to all the evidence and deliberate with the goal of reaching an agreement consistent with the individual judgment of each juror. You are impartial judges of the facts. Your only interest is to determine whether the government has proved its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

If it becomes necessary during your deliberations to communicate with the court, you may send a note by a bailiff, signed by your foreperson or by one or more members of the jury. No member of the jury should ever attempt to communicate with the court by any means other than a signed writing, and the court will never communicate with any member of the jury on any subject touching the merits of the case otherwise than in writing, or orally here in open court. You will note from the oath about to be taken

by the bailiffs that they too, as well as all other persons, are forbidden to communicate in any way or manner with any member of the jury on any subject touching the merits of the case. You must not reveal to any person, including the court, your numerical split on any verdict question until you have reached a unanimous verdict on every count.