IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

POST TRIAL JURY INSTRUCTIONS

v.

12-cr-64-bbc

JUSTIN J. WATERHOUSE,

Defendant.

Members of the jury, you have seen and heard all the evidence and the arguments of the attorneys. Now I will instruct you on the law.

CONSIDERATION OF THE EVIDENCE

All of the introductory instructions that I gave you at the beginning of this trial still are in effect. I will give you copies of those instructions to take back to the jury room with you.

You have received evidence of a statement said to be made by the defendant to
You must decide whether the defendant did make the statement. I
you find that the defendant did make the statement, then you must decide what weight
if any, you believe the statement deserves. In making this decision, you should consider
all matters in evidence having to do with the statement, including those concerning the
defendant himself, and the circumstances under which the statement was made.

In deciding the believability of witnesses, you should judge defendant's testimony in the same way as you judge the testimony of any other witness.

The defendant has an absolute right not to testify. In arriving at your verdict, you must not consider the fact that the defendant did not testify.

You have heard evidence of acts of the defendant other	than those charged in the
indictment. Specifically,	You may consider this
evidence only on the questions of	You should consider
this evidence only for this limited purpose.	
You have heard evidence that	have been convicted of
crimes. You may consider this evidence only in deciding whe	ther the testimony of any
of these witnesses is truthful in whole, in part, or not at all. Y	ou may not consider this
evidence for any other purpose.	
You have heard evidence that the defendant has been	convicted of crimes. You
may consider this evidence only in deciding whether the	defendant's testimony is
truthful in whole, in part, or not at all. You may not consider	it for any other purpose.
A conviction of another crime is not evidence of the defenda	nt's guilt of the crime for
which the defendant now is charged.	
You have heard [reputation/opinion] evidence about the	character trait of
for truthfulness [or untruthfulness]. You should consider this	evidence in deciding the
weight that you will give to's testi	9

You have heard [reputation and/or opinion] evidence about the defendant's character trait for [truthfulness, peacefulness, etc]. You should consider character evidence together with all the other evidence in the case and in the same way.

You have heard evidence that before the trial, witnesses made statements that may be inconsistent with their testimony here in court. If you find that it is inconsistent, you may consider the earlier statement only in deciding the truthfulness and accuracy of that witness's testimony in this trial. You may not use it as evidence of the truth of the matters contained in that prior statement. If that statement was made under oath, you may also consider it as evidence of the truth of the matters contained in that prior statement.

You have heard testimony from ______ who each stated that he or she was involved in the commission of the alleged crime charged against the defendant. You may give the testimony of these witnesses such weight as you believe it deserves, keeping in mind that it must be considered with caution and great care.

have pleaded guilty to a crime arising out					
of the same allegations for which the defendant is now on trial. You may give the					
testimony of these witnesses such weight as you believe it deserves, keeping in mind that					
it must be considered with caution and great care. Moreover, the guilty pleas of these					
defendants cannot to be considered as evidence against the defendant[s] on trial now.					
have received immunity; that is, a					
promise from the government that any testimony or other information he or she					
provided would not be used against him in a criminal case. You may give the testimony					
eve it deserves, keeping in mind that it must					
be considered with caution and great care.					
great care the testimony of any witness who					
is currently addicted to drugs. It is up to you to determine whether the testimony of a					
drug addict has been affect by drug use or the need for drugs.					
gave opinions about matters requiring specia					
estimony in the same way that you judge the					
testimony of any other witness. The fact that such a person has given an opinion does					
not mean that you are required to accept it. Give the testimony whatever weight you					

Certain summaries are in evidence. They truly and accurately summarize the contents of voluminous books, records or documents, and should be considered together with and in the same way as all other evidence in the case.

think it deserves, considering the reasons given for the opinion, the witness'

qualifications and all of the other evidence in the case.

Certain summaries are in evidence. Their accuracy has been challenged by the defendant. Thus, the original materials upon which the exhibits are based have also been admitted into evidence so that you may determine whether the summaries are accurate.

You have heard recorded conversations. These recorded conversations are proper evidence and you may consider them, just as any other evidence. When the recordings were played during the trial, you were furnished transcripts of the recorded conversations prepared by government agents. The recordings are the evidence, and the transcripts were provided to you only as a guide to help you follow as you listen to the recordings. The transcripts are not evidence of what was actually said or who said it. It is up to you to decide whether the transcripts correctly reflect what was said and who said it. If you noticed any difference between what you heard on the recordings and what you read in the transcripts, you must rely on what you heard, not what you read. And if after careful listening, you could not hear or understand certain parts of the recordings, you must ignore the transcripts as far as those parts are concerned.

THE INDICTMENT

The indictment in this case is the formal method of accusing the defendant of offenses and placing the defendant on trial. It is not evidence against the defendant and it does not create any inference of guilt.

The defendant is charged in the indictment as follows:

COUNT 1

On or about February 5, 2012, in the Western District of Wisconsin, the defendant, Justin J. Waterhouse, knowingly stole and converted to his own use, things of value belonging to the United States, specifically, night vision monoculars and gun sights with an aggregate value in excess of \$1,000.00, intending to deprive the United States the use and benefit of such property.

COUNT 2

Between on or about February 15, 2012, and April 23, 2012, in the Western District of Wisconsin, the defendant, Justin J. Waterhouse, knowingly sold and conveyed to M.R. things of value belonging to the United States, specifically, night vision monoculars, with an aggregate value in excess of \$1,000.00, intending to deprive the United States the use and benefit of such property.

COUNT 3

Between on or about March 20, 2012, and April 10, 2012, in the Western District of Wisconsin, the defendant, Justin J. Waterhouse, knowingly sold and conveyed to B.G. things of value belonging to the United States, specifically, a night vision monocular, with an aggregate value in excess of \$1,000.00, intending to deprive the United States the use and benefit of such property.

COUNT 4

Between on or about February 15, 2012, to February 22, 2012, in the Western District of Wisconsin, the defendant, Justin J. Waterhouse, knowingly sold and conveyed to D.P. things of value belonging to the United States, specifically, a night vision monocular and gun sight, with an aggregate value in excess of \$1,000.00, intending to deprive the United States the use and benefit of such property.

The defendant has entered pleas of not guilty to these charges.

The defendant is not on trial for any act or any conduct not charged in the indictment.

The defendant is presumed to be innocent of the charges against him. This presumption continues during every stage of the trial and your deliberations on the verdict. It is not overcome unless from all the evidence in the case you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty as charged.

The government has the burden of proving the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. This burden of proof stays with the government throughout the case. The defendant is never required to prove his innocence or to produce any evidence at all.

The indictment charges that the offenses were committed "on or about" certain dates. The government must prove that the offenses happened reasonably close to those

dates but it is not required to prove that the alleged offenses happened on those exact dates.

ELEMENTS OF THE CHARGE: COUNT 1

To sustain the charges against the defendant in Counts 1, the government must prove these elements:

- 1. The things of value described in Count 1 belonged to the United States and had an aggregate value in excess of \$1000.00.
 - 2. The defendant stole these things of value; and
- 3. The defendant did so with the intent to deprive the United States of the use of these things of value.

If you find from your consideration of all the evidence that each of these elements has been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, then you should find the defendant guilty of Count 1.

On the other hand, if you find from your consideration of all the evidence that any of these propositions has not been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find the defendant not guilty of Count 1.

ELEMENTS OF THE CHARGE: COUNTS 2, 3 and 4

To sustain any of the charges against the defendant in Counts 2, 3 or 4, the government must prove these elements:

- 1. The thing(s) of value described in the count that you are considering belonged to the United States and had an aggregate value in excess of \$1000.00.
- 2. The defendant sold this thing or these things to the person identified in the count that you are considering;

- 3. The defendant did not have the authority to sell this thing or these things to this person; and
- 4. The defendant did so with the intent to deprive the United States of the use of this thing or these things of value.

If you find from your consideration of all the evidence that each of these elements has been proved beyond a reasonable doubt as to the count that you are considering, then you should find the defendant guilty of that count.

On the other hand, if you find from your consideration of all the evidence that any of these elements has not been proved beyond a reasonable doubt as to the count that you are considering, then you must find the defendant not guilty of that count.

DEFINITIONS

"Value" means face value, market value (wholesale or retail) or a price actually paid for the item in question, whichever is greater.

INSTRUCTIONS ON RESPONSIBILITY

By themselves, the defendant's presence at the scene of a crime and knowledge that a crime is being committed are not sufficient to establish the defendant's guilt.

If the defendant performed acts that advanced a criminal activity but had no knowledge that a crime was being committed or was about to be committed, those acts alone are not sufficient to establish the defendant's guilt.

An offense may be committed by more than one person. The defendant's guilt may be established without proof that the defendant personally performed every act constituting the crime charged.

If the defendant knowingly caused the acts of another, the defendant is responsible for those acts as though he personally committed them.

The defendant need not personally perform every act constituting the crime charged. Every person who willfully participates in the commission of a crime may be found guilty.

Whatever a person is legally capable of doing he can do through another person by causing that person to perform the act. If the defendant willfully ordered, directed or authorized the acts of another, then he is responsible for such acts as though he personally committed them.

Any person who knowingly aids, abets, counsels, commands, induces or procures the commission of a crime is guilty of that crime. However, that person must knowingly associate himself with the criminal venture, participate in it and try to make it succeed.

DELIBERATIONS

Upon retiring to the jury room, select one of your number as your presiding juror. This person will preside over your deliberations and will be your representative here in court.

A verdict form has been prepared for you. [Court reads verdict form.]

Take this form to the jury room, and when you have reached unanimous agreement on the verdict, your foreperson will fill in, date and sign the form.

Although you have seen that the trial is being recorded by a court reporter, you should not expect to be able to use trial transcripts in your deliberations. You will have to rely on your own memories.

Each count of the indictment charges the defendant with having committed a separate offense. You must consider each count and the evidence relating to it separate and apart from the other counts. You should return a separate verdict as to each count. Your verdict of guilty or not guilty of an offense charged in one count should not control your decision as to the defendant under any other count.

The verdict must represent the considered judgment of each juror. Whether your verdict is guilty or not guilty, it must be unanimous. You should make every reasonable effort to reach a verdict. In doing so, you should consult with one another, express your own views and listen to the opinions of your fellow jurors. Discuss your differences with an open mind. Do not hesitate to re-examine your own views and change your opinion if you come to believe it is wrong. But do not surrender your honest beliefs about the weight or effect of evidence solely because of the opinions of your fellow jurors or for the purpose of returning a unanimous verdict.

The twelve of you should give fair and equal consideration to all the evidence and deliberate with the goal of reaching an agreement consistent with the individual judgment of each juror. You are impartial judges of the facts. Your only interest is to determine whether the government has proved its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

If it becomes necessary during your deliberations to communicate with the court, you may send a note by a bailiff, signed by your foreperson or by one or more members of the jury. No member of the jury should ever attempt to communicate with the court by any means other than a signed writing, and the court will never communicate with any member of the jury on any subject touching the merits of the case otherwise than in writing, or orally here in open court. You will note from the oath about to be taken by the bailiffs that they too, as well as all other persons, are forbidden to communicate in any way or manner with any member of the jury on any subject touching the merits of the case. You must not reveal to any person, including the court, your numerical split on any verdict question until you have reached a unanimous verdict on every count.