

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

SEAN ANTHONY RIKER,

Plaintiff,

v.

TAYLOR ANNE RIKER,

Defendant.

ORDER

12-cv-641-bbc

Plaintiff Sean Anthony Riker, an inmate at the Wisconsin Secure Program Facility, is proceeding pro se on a claim that defendant Taylor Anne Riker slandered him by falsely telling the police that he had downloaded child pornography on his computer. Now before the court is a motion filed by plaintiff for a court order requiring the Wisconsin Secure Program Facility to allow plaintiff to send case-related documents to defendant. Dkt. #17. According to plaintiff, officers at the prison are prohibiting plaintiff from sending documents to defendant on the basis that there is a “no contact” order in place between plaintiff and defendant.

I am denying plaintiff’s motion. Before I determine an appropriate solution to this issue, plaintiff must provide the court with more information about the “no contact” order that is in place between him and defendant. If plaintiff has a copy of the order, he should file it with the court. If plaintiff does not have a copy, he should explain in writing when the “no contact” order was issued, who issued it and what the order says, providing as many specific details as he can. If plaintiff does not know the details of the “no contact” order, he should ask the officers

who prohibited him from contacting defendant for more information. In the meantime, plaintiff must file with the court two copies of every paper he files in this case. At this time, defendant has not yet been served by the marshal's service. After defendant has been served, the court will send her copies of the papers plaintiff has filed thus far. After plaintiff provides the court with more details regarding the "no contact" order, I will determine the most appropriate way to proceed with this case.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff Sean Anthony Riker's motion for an order requiring the Wisconsin Secure Program Facility to permit him to send documents to defendant Taylor Riker, dkt. #17, is DENIED. Plaintiff may have until December 7, 2012 to provide the court more details about the "no contact" order in place between him and defendant. In the meantime, plaintiff must file with the court two copies of every document he files in this case.

Entered this 28th day of November, 2012.

BY THE COURT:
/s/
BARBARA B. CRABB
District Judge