

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

RANDY McCAA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MEMORANDUM

12-cv-61-slc

MICHAEL MEISNER, JANEL NICKEL,
DONALD MORGAN, BRIAN FRANSON,
TONY ASHWORTH, COREY SABISH,
DR. LESLIE BAIRD, DR. PATRICK KUMKE,
RAYMOND MILLONIG JR., TRAVIS BITTELMAN,
BRIAN NEUMAIER, MICHAEL RATA CZAK,,
JOSEPH EBERT and JOHN DOE I¹,

Defendants.

Pursuant to an informal service agreement between the Wisconsin Department of Justice and this court, the Department has agreed to accept electronic service of documents on behalf of the defendants it represents. The Wisconsin Department of Justice has indicated that it will represent defendants Meisner, Nickel, Morgan, Franson, Ashworth, Sabish, Baird, Kumke, Millonig, Bittelman, Neumaier, Rataczak and Ebert in this case. Therefore, with respect to these defendants, plaintiff does not have to send a paper copy of each document he files with the court to the Department. All he has to do is submit the document to the court, and the Department will access the document through the court's electronic filing system.

The Department has indicated that it does *not* accept service for the John Doe I. Once the identity of the Doe defendant has been ascertained, the Department will decide whether to

¹ I have amended the caption to replace D. Morgan with the name Donald Morgan, Lt. Sabish with the name Corey Sabish, Sgt. Millonig, Jr. with the name Raymond Millonig, Jr., C.O. T. Bittelman with the name Travis Bittelman, CO. D. Newmaier with the name Brian Neumaier, C.O. Rataczak with the name Michael Rataczak and C.O. Ebert with the name Joseph Ebert as identified in the Acceptance of Service filed in this case.

accept service on his behalf. If the Department decides to represent him, you will not have to send a paper copy of each document to this defendant. If the Department does not accept service, you will have to send him or his attorney, a paper copy of each document.

Discovery requests or responses are an exception to the electronic service rule. Usually, those documents should be sent directly to counsel for the opposing party and do not have to be sent to the court. Discovery procedures will be explained more fully at the preliminary pretrial conference.

Entered this 29th day of March, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER
Magistrate Judge