

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

NATHAN GILLIS,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL MEISNER, ANTHONY ASHWORTH,
GARY HAMBLIN, CAPT. MORGAN and
JOHN DOES 1-5,

Defendants.

ORDER

11-cv-560-bbc

Plaintiff Nathan Gillis is proceeding on claims that prison staff blocked him from transferring money from his prison account to his family. Now plaintiff has filed a motion for a preliminary injunction directing prison staff to allow plaintiff to send \$100 to his cousin. I will deny this motion because it appears that prison staff's refusal to send money to plaintiff's cousin encompasses a new set of facts that are not a part of the claims on which plaintiff was allowed to proceed (plaintiff's claims in this case concern his request to send money to his mother and niece.) In order to have this new claim considered in this case, plaintiff will have to amend his complaint to include this claim. In his amended complaint, plaintiff should make sure to include the dates of his requests and defendants' responses so

that the court can tell what transactions are at issue in this case.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff Nathan Gillis's motion for preliminary injunctive relief, dkt. #18, is DENIED.

Entered this 4th day of January, 2012.

BY THE COURT:
/s/
BARBARA B. CRABB
District Judge