IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

WENDY ALISON NORA,

ORDER

Plaintiff,

10-cv-709-bbc

v.

JUAN B. COLAS, in his judicial capacity for purposes of declaratory relief only, JUAN B. COLAS, in his individual capacity for non-judicial actions, DANE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT, in its official capacity, CARLOS ESQUEDA, Clerk of Dane County Circuit Court in his official capacity for declaratory relief only, CARLOS ESQUEDA, Clerk of Dane County Circuit Court in his individual capacity for criminal damages, and VICKI GILBERTSON, Deputy Clerk of Dane County Circuit Court in her official capacity only,

Defendants.

In this civil action, plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora is asserting claims that defendants failed to accommodate her disability in handling a lawsuit in the Dane County Circuit Court. In a December 17, 2010 order, I granted plaintiff's motion for an extension of time to respond to defendants' motions to dismiss her original complaint. That same day, plaintiff filed an amended complaint, mooting defendants' original motions to dismiss, but they filed new motions to dismiss the amended complaint. Now plaintiff has filed a motion for an extension of time to file responses to defendants' new motions to dismiss, stating that health concerns prevent her from responding by the January 31, 2011 deadline. She asks for an extension of time until March 21, 2011. Defendants have consented to this request.

Accordingly, I will grant plaintiff's motion for an extension of time. Plaintiff may have until

March 21, 2011 to file her responses. Defendants will have until March 31, 2011 to file their replies.

Although I am granting plaintiff's request, I am putting her on notice that this will be the final

extension of this deadline. We have been down this road before in plaintiff's previous appearances in

this court. In this case, just like plaintiff's previous cases, there will come a time when plaintiff will

have to litigate the merits of this case rather than ask for yet another deadline extension. If plaintiff

believes that she will have difficulties meeting her new March 31, 2011 deadline, then she should

consider hiring a lawyer to assist her in this matter.

Because I am granting plaintiff's request, the preliminary pretrial conference scheduled for

February 3, 2011 is stricken from the schedule and will be rescheduled following resolution of the

motions to dismiss.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for extension of time to file her response briefs, dkt. 24,

is GRANTED: plaintiff has until March 21, 2011 to file her responses. Defendants' reply deadline is

extended to March 31, 2011. The preliminary pretrial conference scheduled for February 3, 2011 is

stricken from the schedule and will be rescheduled following resolution of the motions to dismiss.

Entered this 1st day of February, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER

Magistrate Judge

2