IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

DWAYNE ALMOND,

ORDER

Plaintiff,

10-cv-621-bbc

v.

WILLIAM POLLARD and MOLLI ROLLI,

Defendants.

Plaintiff Dwayne Almond, a prisoner at the Green Bay Correctional Institution, has filed an action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 in which he alleges that he is being denied medication for treatment of serious mental health problems. On April 7, 2011, I denied plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunctive relief. In a June 1, 2011 order, I denied plaintiff's two motions for reconsideration of that ruling. Now plaintiff has filed a third motion for reconsideration. I will deny this motion because plaintiff raises no new arguments.

In addition, plaintiff has filed a motion for summary judgment. Briefing on plaintiff's motion will be stayed because his submissions in support of the motion do not comply with the court's Procedure To Be Followed On Motions For Summary Judgment, attached to the February 25, 2011 order in this case. In his proposed findings, plaintiff lists the various exhibits he has submitted in support of his motion, but this does not comply with the court's procedures. I will give plaintiff a chance to resubmit his proposed findings of fact and

supporting materials on order to comply with the court's procedures. He will have until July 26, 2011 to submit these materials or his motion will be considered withdrawn.

In his proposed findings, plaintiff should *explain* what happened to him, such as when he was diagnosed with mental illnesses, how he sought treatment at the Green Bay Correctional Institution and how defendants responded to his requests. To support his statements of fact, plaintiff should cite to the exhibits he has submitted. Also, I will remind plaintiff that he does not need to quote long passages from earlier decisions of this court or previous briefs filed by the parties. Plaintiff should stick to telling his story of what happened.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that

Plaintiff Dwayne Almond's third motion for reconsideration of this court's April
7, 2011 order denying his motion for preliminary injunctive relief, dkt. #44, is DENIED.

2. Briefing on plaintiff's motion for summary judgment is STAYED pending plaintiff's resubmission of his proposed findings of fact. If plaintiff does not resubmit his materials by July 26, 2011, his motion for summary judgment will be considered withdrawn.

Entered this 5th day of July, 2011.

BY THE COURT: /s/ BARBARA B. CRABB District Judge

2