

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

MICHAEL BOGDONAS,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBERT MUSEUS, in his official capacity
as Town Administrator and in his
individual capacity, JOHN WILSON, in his
official capacity as Chief of Police and in his
individual capacity, TOWN OF BELOIT,
a municipal corporation, ALAN LEVY,
and ONE OR MORE JOHN DOES,

Defendants.

ORDER

10-cv-618-bbc

Plaintiff Michael Bogdonas has filed a motion requesting a three week extension of time in which to file a combined response to the three separate summary judgment motions filed by defendants on October 3, 2011. Plaintiff's counsel explains that under the current schedule, they have to respond to eight summary judgment motions in two separate cases by October 24. Defendants oppose the request, contending that plaintiff has not offered a compelling reason for the extension, plaintiff's counsel should have realized the scheduling problem when scheduling the cases and that defendants will be prejudiced because the

schedule is already tight in this case. (Defendant John Wilson filed a motion, dkt. #102, to join the opposition brief filed by defendant Robert Museus.) I agree with defendants that the schedule is too tight to allow plaintiff three additional weeks in which to respond. However, plaintiff may have one extra week in which to respond to defendants' motions.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that

1. Defendant John Wilson's motion to join co-defendant Robert Museus's brief in opposition, dkt. #102, is GRANTED.

2. Plaintiff Michael Bogdonas's motion for a three-week extension of time, dkt. #85, is DENIED.

3. Plaintiff may have until October 31, 2011 to respond to defendants' motions for summary judgment. Defendants may have until November 10, 2011 to reply.

Entered this 7th day of October, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

BARBARA B. CRABB

District Judge