

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

JONATHAN L. LIEBZEIT,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL THURMER and SAM APPAU,

Defendants.

ORDER

10-cv-170-slc

Plaintiff Jonathan Liebzeit has filed a motion for leave to amend his complaint along with a proposed complaint. *See* dkt. 86 and 87. This motion is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE to plaintiff's refiling the proposed complaint in accordance with this court's procedures.

To help the court and defendants understand what changes a plaintiff is making in an amended complaint, it is this court's policy to ask the plaintiff to file a proposed amended complaint that looks just like the original except that plaintiff is to highlight any new defendants in the caption and highlight all the new or modified allegations he has made to the body of the complaint or to his request for relief. (If plaintiff does not have a highlighter, he may circle the new information instead.) If plaintiff wants to delete certain allegations from the old version of the complaint, he should draw a line through those allegations in his proposed amended complaint. If plaintiff does these things, it will allow the court to rule more promptly on his motion and screen the amended complaint as the court is required to do under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. In the event that plaintiff has not retained a copy of the operative complaint in this complaint, I am enclosing one with this order.

Entered this 22nd day of January, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER
Magistrate Judge