

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

SCHEDULING ORDER

v.

09-cr-37-bbc

GREGORY L. PARKER,

Defendant.

At the March 17, 2009 arraignment, this court set the following schedule:

1) The government must provide its required disclosures not later than March 24, 2009, with a continuing disclosure obligation throughout this case. Pursuant to Rule 12, the government has proffered that it intends to use all disclosed evidence in its case-in-chief at trial.

2) Defendant must file and serve any pretrial motions and discovery requests not later than May 11, 2009. Briefs need not accompany the motions. If defendant wants an evidentiary hearing on a motion, then he must ask for it in the caption of each such motion and must provide a nonconjectural factual basis establishing a prima facie entitlement to the relief requested. *See United States v. Toro*, 359 F.3d 879, 885 (7th Cir. 2004).

3) The pretrial motion hearing and any evidentiary hearing shall be May 14, 2009 at 11:00 a.m. The court will rule on each motion or set it for briefing in consultation with the parties. Unless the court is taking evidence on a dispositive motion, defendant may waive his presence at the preliminary pretrial conference.

4) Not later than May 26, 2009 the government must disclose any expert witnesses, with reciprocal disclosure of any defense experts by June 8, 2009.

5) Submissions for the final pretrial conference, namely proposed voir dire questions, jury instructions and motions *in limine* must be filed and served not later than June 10, 2009.

6) The final pretrial conference shall be June 12, 2009 at 11:00 a.m. Defendant may waive his presence at the final pretrial conference.

7) The final hearing before the trial judge shall be June 18, 2009 at 3:00 p.m. The defendant and trial counsel must attend this hearing.

8) Jury selection and trial shall begin June 22, 2009 at 9:00 a.m. The predicted trial length is one to two days. The parties are jointly responsible for alerting the clerk of court forthwith if a jury need not be called.

At the arraignment, we also addressed defendant's preliminary discovery motions. After taking a discovery proffer from the government, I granted the motions docketed as 8 and 12, denied 9 & 10 as unnecessary and took no action on the self-executing request docketed as 11.

Entered this 17th day of March, 2009.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER
Magistrate Judge