

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

LAMONT D. WALKER,

Plaintiff,

v.

RYAN ARMSON, *et al.*,

Defendants.

ORDER

09-cv-756-slc

Plaintiff Lamont D. Walker has been granted leave to proceed on his Eighth Amendment claims that defendants were deliberately indifferent to his serious dental needs. Now before the Court is plaintiff's motions to compel and a renewed motion to appoint counsel. Dkt. 53.

Plaintiff requests e-mails pertaining to soft diet and diet policy procedures. Defendants have provided these. Plaintiff requests phone call log books. These documents were not provided because they do not exist. Plaintiff requests the following institutional policies: 300 IMP 12; 300:24; 300 IMP 48;. ED66; Dentist tooth extraction guideline procedure; and BHS Dental Policy and Procedure. Defendants have advised plaintiff that he can review these policies in the institution library and may pay for any copies that he wants made of the policies. This is a sufficient response. Therefore, I am denying plaintiff's motion to compel discovery.

Next, plaintiff renews his motion for appointment of counsel. On January 18, 2011, the court denied plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel without prejudice. Plaintiff continues to argue that he is unable to represent himself in this case, but so far he has competently prosecuted his case by conducting appropriate, focused discovery. Further, plaintiff has not alleged any change in his circumstances that would warrant appointment of counsel.

Accordingly, his renewed motion for appointment of counsel will be denied, again without prejudice.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff's motion to compel and renewed motion for appointment of counsel, dkt. 53, are DENIED.

Entered this 8th day of March, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER
Magistrate Judge