

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

JACKIE CARTER,

Plaintiff,

v.

PETER HUIBREGTSE (Warden); MONICA
HORNER (Security Director); GARY BOUGHTON
(Assit. Warden); Ms. HOEM, Psychologist;
Ms. S. SHARPE, Psychologist; KEVIN KALLAS,
Psychiatrist; RUBIN ASH, Psychologist,

Defendants.

ORDER

09-cv-463-bbc

JACKIE CARTER,

Plaintiff,

v.

PETER HUIBREGTSE; MONICA HORNER;
GARY BOUGHTON; JUDITH HUIBREGTSE;
Lt. HANFEILD; Lt. TOM; Capt. HOOPER;
C.O. JONES; C.O. LEFTER; C.O. MULLUSK;
C.O. COCKROFT; C.O. BELZ, SR.; Capt. MASON
and W.D.O.C. Secretary RICK REAMISCH,

Defendants.

ORDER

09-cv-464-bbc

On March 11, 2010, I issued an order stating that plaintiff Jackie Carter could not proceed with either of these cases in forma pauperis because he is disqualified from proceeding in forma pauperis under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). I gave plaintiff until April 1, 2010 in which to submit the \$350 filing fee for each case he wanted to pursue. Plaintiff did not submit either of the filing fees by the deadline, so the cases were closed, and judgment was entered against plaintiff in both cases on April 16, 2010.

Now plaintiff has filed a motion in each of these cases seeking reconsideration of the March 11 order, which I construe as a motion seeking relief from judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 60. Plaintiff argues that he has done everything asked of him by the court, including submitting amended complaints when asked to do so. Plaintiff is mistaken in asserting that he has done everything asked of him, because he has not paid the full \$350 filing fees for these actions in order to proceed. Plaintiff does not suggest any other reason for reopening these cases. Accordingly, plaintiff's motions for relief from judgment in these cases are DENIED.

Entered this 30th day of June, 2010.

BY THE COURT:
/s/
BARBARA B. CRABB
District Judge