## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN		
BRIAN TEED, v. J.T. PACKARD & ASSOC	Plaintiff, CIATES, INC.	ORDER 08-cv-303-bbc
and S.R. BRAY CORP.,	Defendants.	
MARCUS CLAY, v.	Plaintiff,	ORDER 09-cv-313-bbc
J.T. PACKARD & ASSOC and S.R. BRAY CORP.,		
	Defendants.	

It has come to my attention that the briefing schedule for plaintiffs' motion to substitute a party under Fed. R. Civ. P. 25 does not include an opportunity for filing a reply

brief. Because the brief in opposition raises a number of arguments that plaintiffs did not anticipate in their brief-in-chief, I will give plaintiffs a short time to respond to those arguments.

## ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that plaintiffs Brian Teed and Marcus Clay may have until September 22, 2010 to file a reply brief in support of their motion to substitute a party.

Entered this 15th day of September, 2010.

BY THE COURT: /s/ BARBARA B. CRABB District Judge