

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

- - - - -

RE: DAVID, KAY and THOMAS SIEVERDING,

ORDER

Petitioners.

08-cv-324-bbc

- - - - -

This case was dismissed on June 18, 2008, for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Judgment was entered that same day. On July 2, 2008, petitioners filed two documents, both titled “Amended Petition” (dkt. ##10 and 11). Although it is not entirely clear, petitioners appear to be seeking permission to begin afresh in this closed case with a new lawsuit, this one naming the United States Attorney as the respondent and challenging the execution of a bench warrant for defendant Kay Sieverding’s arrest and return to the state of Colorado to face the judge issuing the warrant.

Once a case has been closed, a party may challenge the court’s decision on its merits by filing a motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 59 within ten days of the date of entry of the judgment. Petitioners’ “amended petitions” do not raise any error in this court’s June 18 judgment. Rather, petitioners seek to raise new matters that cannot be heard in the context of this case. Therefore, IT IS ORDERED that petitioners’ “Amended Petitions” (dkt. #10

and #11), are construed as a motion to amend the complaint and a proposed amended complaint and DENIED.

Entered this 14th day of July, 2008.

BY THE COURT:  
/s/  
BARBARA B. CRABB  
District Judge