

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

LAWRENCE NORTHERN,

Petitioner,

ORDER

v.

08-cv-184-bbc

ANA BOATWRIGHT, Warden,
New Lisbon Correctional Institution,

Respondent.

Lawrence Northern, an inmate at the New Lisbon Correctional Institution, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He has paid the five dollar filing fee. The petition is before the court for preliminary review pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases.

As an initial matter, I note that petitioner named the Warden of the New Lisbon Correctional Institution as respondent. This is correct, but I have added the warden's name, Ana Boatwright, to the caption and will direct the clerk of courts to do the same.

Petitioner challenges his confinement resulting from his January 11, 2002 conviction in the Circuit Court for Eau Claire County for possession with intent to distribute >15-40 grams of cocaine and possession with intent to distribute cocaine, party to a crime. He contends that he is in custody in violation of the laws and Constitution of the United States because: 1) his postconviction counsel was ineffective for not raising on direct appeal the ineffective assistance

of trial counsel in not objecting to an improper jury instruction; and 2) his trial counsel was ineffective for not challenging an amendment to the information on the day before trial. The allegations in the petition are sufficient to warrant a response from the state. Although this case has a long history and involves several postconviction motions, it appears that petitioner has exhausted his state court remedies and filed his petition within the one-year limitations period.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The clerk of courts shall revise the caption of this lawsuit to list Ana Boatwright, Warden, New Lisbon Correctional Institution as respondent.
2. Pursuant to an informal service agreement between the Attorney General and the court, the Attorney General is being notified to seek service on Warden Boatwright.
3. The state shall file a response to the petition not later than 30 days from the date of service of the petition, showing cause, if any, why this writ should not issue.

If the state contends that any of petitioner's claims are subject to dismissal with prejudice on grounds such as procedural default or the statute of limitations or without prejudice on grounds of failure to exhaust, then it should file a motion to dismiss and all supporting documents within its 30-day deadline. If relevant, the state must address in its supporting brief the issue of cause, prejudice and staying this action while petitioner exhausts his state court remedies. Petitioner shall have 20 days following service of any such motion within which to

file and serve his responsive brief and any supporting documents. The state shall have 10 days following service of the response within which to file a reply.

If at this time the state wishes to argue petitioner's claims on their merits, either directly or as a fallback position in conjunction with any motion to dismiss, then within its 30-day deadline the state must file and serve not only its substantive legal response to petitioner's claims, but also all documents, records and transcripts that commemorate the findings of fact or legal conclusions reached by the state courts at any level relevant to petitioner's claims. The state also must file and serve any additional portions of the record that are material to deciding whether the legal conclusions reached by state courts on these claims was unreasonable in light of the facts presented. 28 U.S.C. § 2254(d)(2). If the necessary records and transcripts cannot be furnished within 30 days, the state must advise the court when such papers will be filed. Petitioner shall have 20 days from the service of the state's response within which to file a substantive reply.

If the state chooses to file only a motion to dismiss within its 30-day deadline, it does not waive its right to file a substantive response later, if its motion is denied in whole or in part. In that situation, the court would set up a new calendar for submissions from both sides.

4. Once the state has filed its answer or other response, petitioner must serve by mail a copy of every letter, brief, exhibit, motion or other submission that he files with this court upon the assistant attorney general who appears on the state's behalf. The court will not docket or consider any submission that has not been served upon the state. Petitioner should include on

each of his submissions a notation indicating that he served a copy of that document upon the state.

5. The federal mailbox rule applies to all submissions in this case.

Entered this 7th day of April, 2008.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER
Magistrate Judge