## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

**ORDER** 

v.

07-CR-015-S

RICHARD E. DAVIS,

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_

On June 27, 2007, this court held an *ex parte* hearing on Attorney David Mandell's motion to withdraw as counsel for defendant Richard Davis. Attorney Mandell and Davis were both present for the hearing.

After a lengthy discussion with Davis and Attorney Mandell, I concluded that the attorney-client relationship was not irretrievably broken and that Attorney Mandell could continue to work with Davis as well as or better than any other attorney. Indeed, Attorney Mandell is Davis's third lawyer in this case; I previously had warned Davis that he would not get a fourth attorney. I did not renew that warning at the hearing, but do not expect to get to that point: it will be up to Davis to cooperate more fully with Attorney Mandell in resolving this case by whatever means Davis chooses.

Entered this 27<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER Magistrate Judge