

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

---

CITGO PETROLEUM CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

RANGER ENTERPRISES, INC.,

Defendant.

ORDER

07-cv-657-bbc

---

On January 22, 2009, one day before summary judgment motions were due, plaintiff filed a motion to amend the schedule a third time, suggesting 90-day extensions for most of the remaining deadlines. *See* dkt. 83. Defendant joins most of this request but does not want a new trial date unless this court grants its request to take an interlocutory appeal (dkt. 61). *See* dkt. 85.

Plaintiff's motion is granted in part and denied in part. The August 3, 2009 trial date is not moving. But there is some breathing room to move a few of the interstitial deadlines:

Deadline for Filing Dispositive Motions: March 13, 2009.

Disclosure of Experts: Proponent: April 24, 2009.

Respondent: May 29, 2009.

All other dates set in the second amended scheduling order (dkt. 47) remain in place.

Entered this 27<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2009.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER  
Magistrate Judge