

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

06-CR-221-C

JAMES V. FRAZIER,

Defendant.

On June 14, 2007, this court issued an order responding to some of pro se criminal defendant James Frazier's recent submissions. After entering that order, the court received two more handwritten documents from Frazier, one dated June 7, 2007 that asked for more time to prepare motions (dkt. 46) and another dated June 12, 2007 that appeared to repeat Frazier's claim that the government misled the grand jury with omissions and with false testimony (dkt. 47).

These submissions do not require a response from the court beyond that provided in its two most recent orders (dks. 37 & 47): the August 6, 2007 trial date is not moving. The court remains hopeful that Frazier will hire an attorney or will accept reappointment (by the July 6 deadline) of CJA Attorney #3 for trial. The government forthwith must provide its discovery directly to Frazier. The court will not forbid Frazier from filing late pretrial motions to quash the indictment and/or to suppress evidence, but it is not promising that it will consider them. If Frazier wishes to pursue such motions, the sooner he files them and the more convincing his evidence of government bad acts, the more likely the court is to consider them.

Because the court's previous orders control what happens next, and because Frazier's most recent submissions do not conform to what the court is allowing Frazier to do, to the extent that these submissions may be considered motions, both are denied without prejudice.

Entered this 18th day of June, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER

Magistrate Judge