

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

DEMETRIUS L. WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

v.

DAVID M. WOLFE,
OSKAR M. ANDERSON,
PETER EISCH,
WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE and
WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,¹

Defendants.

ORDER

06-C-686-C

In an order entered on May 31, 2007, I concluded that plaintiff stated a claim upon which relief may be granted on his claims that defendants David Wolfe and the Wisconsin Department of Justice refused to rehire plaintiff because of his race, in violation of the equal protection clause, 42 U.S.C. § 1981 and Title VII. (A number of other issues were addressed in that order that are not relevant to the issue before the court now.) At the time I issued that order, defendants had already moved for summary judgment, but had not included

¹ I have amended the caption to reflect the changes in plaintiff's amended complaint.

these claims in their motion because they had not construed plaintiff's complaint as including those claims.

Now, defendants have asked to supplement their summary judgment materials with proposed findings of fact related to the decision not to rehire plaintiff. As I noted multiple times in the May 31 order, plaintiff's complaint was less than crystal clear on a number of issues, including this one. Accordingly, I GRANT defendants' request to file supplemental proposed findings of fact. Plaintiff may have until June 26, 2007, in which to file a response.

Entered this 19th day of June, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

BARBARA B. CRABB
District Judge