

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

-----  
DWAYNE ALMOND,

Petitioner,

v.

ORDER

06-C-556-C

STATE OF WISCONSIN, GREEN  
BAY WARDEN OF PRISON, MR.  
LT. VANGREEN of Green Bay, MS.  
JEANANNA GREENWOOD, Supervisor  
HSU, MS. R.N. JEAN LUTSKY, MR. LT.  
SWIEKATOWSKI, MR. MICHAEL J.  
MOHR, ICE Dept.,

Respondents.  
-----

DWAYNE ALMOND,

Petitioner,

v.

06-C-557-C

STATE OF WISCONSIN, GREEN  
BAY WARDEN OF PRISON, MR.  
CAPT. Z of prison, CO II CAUL of  
prison, MR. DR. PSYCHIATRIST  
MCQUEENEY, MS. DR. MARY BREEN,  
MS. SARAH COOPER, Supervisor, MR.  
I.C.E. of prison, MICHAEL J. MOHR of  
Inmate Complaint System,

Respondents.

-----  
DWAYNE ALMOND,

Petitioner,

v.

06-C-558-C

STATE OF WISCONSIN, GREEN  
BAY WARDEN OF PRISON, LT.  
LeSATZ of prison, MR. MCQUEENEY,  
psychiatrist, MS. MARY BREEN, Psychologist,  
MR. LT. SWIEKATOWSKI, MS. SARAH  
COOPER, Supervisor, MR. MICHAEL J.  
MOHR, ICE Department,

Respondents.  
-----

DWAYNE ALMOND,

Petitioner,

v.

06-C-559-C

STATE OF WISCONSIN, GREEN  
BAY WARDEN OF PRISON, MR. LT.  
SWIEKATOWSKI of prison, CO II  
OFFICER WALTON of prison, MS. NURSE  
JEAN LUTSKEY of prison, MS. MARY  
BREEN, doctor, MS. SARAH COOPER,  
Supervisor, MR. LT. LeSATZ,

Respondents.

-----  
DWAYNE ALMOND,

Petitioner,

v.

06-C-560-C

STATE OF WISCONSIN, GREEN  
BAY WARDEN OF PRISON, MR. LT.  
SWIEKATOWSKI of prison, CO II  
OFFICER WALTON of prison, MS. NURSE  
JEAN LUTSKEY of prison, MS. MARY  
BREEN, doctor, MS. SARAH COOPER,  
Supervisor, MR. LT. LeSATZ,

Respondents.  
-----

DWAYNE ALMOND,

Petitioner,

v.

06-C-561-C

STATE OF WISCONSIN, GREEN  
BAY WARDEN OF PRISON, MR. LT.  
SWIEKATOWSKI, MS. SARAH COOPER,  
Supervisor, MS. DR. BREEN, psychologist,  
MR. MARK ZIMONICK, social worker,  
MR. LT. LeSATZ, MR MICHAEL J. MOHR,  
ICE Dept.,

Respondents.  
-----

Petitioner Dwayne Almond has moved for reconsideration of the orders entered in

these cases denying his requests for leave to proceed in forma pauperis on the ground that he has incurred three strikes under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). In the same orders, I advised petitioner that his proposed complaints do not qualify for the “imminent danger” exception to § 1915(g). In addition, I told him that if, by October 20, 2006, he failed to pay the \$350 fee for filing each case, the cases would be dismissed and the warden of the Green Bay Correctional Institution would be notified of his filing fee debts so that the warden could consider how amounts deposited to petitioner’s account might be utilized to pay petitioner’s filing fees in light of the fact that the installment payment provision of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2) does not apply to petitioner. Dallas v. Gamble, slip op. WL 2371346 (W.D. Wis. Aug. 14, 2006).

Having reviewed petitioner’s motion carefully, I conclude that nothing in it suggests convincingly that I erred in finding that he does not qualify for pauper status under § 1915 in these cases because he has struck out and because his complaints do not qualify for an “imminent danger” exception. Petitioner’s motion is largely incomprehensible. It illuminates the depth of his suffering from illnesses with which he has been diagnosed, such as paranoid schizophrenia and a variety of other psychoses. He rambles from assertions of fearing for his life without a plausible basis for the beliefs to memories of sexual assaults to quoting passages from the orders entered in two of his cases on which he has been allowed to proceed, Almond v. Grams, 06-C-451-C and Almond v. Lesatz, 06-C-446-C. Although it is difficult to imagine the anguish petitioner suffers from the distorted and frightening

world his illnesses cause him to perceive, the court would do him no favor to give credence to his delusions. As petitioner is aware, this court is in the process of attempting to find a lawyer to represent him in case no. 06-C-451-C, a case in which petitioner alleges he was not provided mental health treatment. I am satisfied that if petitioner's court appointed counsel should find that petitioner's constitutional rights are being violated by prison authorities, he or she will insure that the matter is presented to this court. In the meantime, I urge petitioner to do his best to work with the mental health professionals at the institution to discover whether there is medication petitioner can tolerate that will lessen the intensity of his symptoms.

#### ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that petitioner Dwayne Almond's motion for reconsideration of this court's orders denying him leave to proceed in forma pauperis in these cases is DENIED.

Further, IT IS ORDERED that the clerk of court is directed to close these cases and notify the warden of the Green Bay Correctional Institution of petitioner's obligation to pay the filing fees.

Entered this 20th day of October, 2006.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

BARBARA B. CRABB

District Judge