

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

CHRISTOPHER GOODVINE,

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER

GREG GRAMS, JANEL NICKEL, CAPTAIN
JOHNSON, CAPT. S. SALTER, CAPT.
TRATTLES, LIEUTENANT KELLER, LT.
SCHOENBERG, MATTHEW J. FRANK, BURT
TAMMINGA, MS. SITZMAN, LT. STRUPP,
MS. HAHNISCH, MS. WARD, MS. MUCHOW,
T. BITTELMAN, CYNTHIA THORPE and DR. SULIENE,

06-C-491-S

Defendants.

Plaintiff moves for a continuance and stay of the decision on defendants' motion for summary judgment. It does not appear from the record that further discovery is needed for plaintiff's response to the defendants' motion for summary judgment. Accordingly, plaintiff's motion for a continuance will be denied.

Plaintiff continues to have problems in prosecuting this action because of rules that are within the authority of the institution personnel to impose. (See this Court's October 18, 2006 order, Docket #10). Accordingly, the Court believes the interests of justice require dismissing this action without prejudice to plaintiff's reopening it when he is able to prosecute it.

Goodvine v. Grams, et al., 06-C-491-S

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for a continuance or a stay is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the above entitled action is DISMISSED without prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's motions for temporary restraining orders are DENIED.

Entered this 20th day of November, 2006.

BY THE COURT:

S/

JOHN C. SHABAZ
District Judge