

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

CHIRAG (CRAIG) AMIN,

Petitioner,

v.

COLONIAL MANAGEMENT, LLC,
OTTO GEBHARDT III, ORA DOE,
LISA DOE, and BARB DOE,

Respondents.

ORDER

06-C-421-C

In an order entered in this case on August 7, 2006, I stayed a decision whether petitioner Chirag (Craig) Amin would be allowed to proceed in forma pauperis in this action, because petitioner had not provided the court with sufficient information to determine how he was paying for his basic necessities, such as food, clothing and shelter, and for the bills sent to him by the creditors he listed in his affidavit dated August 2, 2006. I told petitioner that if he failed to provide the necessary financial information, I would deny his request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis for his failure to show that he is indigent.

Now petitioner has submitted a supplemental form for an affidavit of indigency in which he indicates that he is self-employed. However, he has declined to answer the

question, “how much do you earn per month.” Possibly, petitioner has refrained from answering this question because the form for an affidavit does not frame it precisely. The form asks, “Are you now employed?” The affiant is then asked to check a box to answer “Yes,” “No,” or “Am Self Employed.” The form goes on to state, “If YES, how much do you earn per month?” and “If NO, give month and year of last employment. How much did you earn per month?” The form does not say, as it probably should, “If YES *or self employed*, how much do you earn per month?”

Nevertheless, petitioner Amin cannot believe seriously that this court can make a finding that he qualifies for indigent status without knowing how much money he earns or has available for his use from other sources. Petitioner appears simply to be utilizing again his unique obsession to parse words to suit himself. See, e.g., Amin v. Loyola University Chicago, 05-C-543-C, slip op. June 30, 2006 (petitioner’s “semantic argument regarding “preliminary” nature of pretrial conference is transparent attempt to circumvent court’s orders).

Because petitioner has not supplied adequate financial information to show that he qualifies for indigent status under 28 U.S.C. § 1915, his request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis in this action will be denied. I will allow petitioner two weeks in which to pay the \$350 fee for filing his complaint. If he fails to pay the fee in full, the clerk of court will be directed to close this case.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that petitioner Chirag (Craig) Amin's request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis in this action is DENIED.

Further, IT IS ORDERED that petitioner may have until August 29, 2006, in which to pay the \$350 fee for filing this action. If, by August 29, 2006, petitioner fails to pay the required filing fee, the clerk of court is directed to close this case.

Entered this 15th day of August, 2006.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

BARBARA B. CRABB

District Judge