## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

SUSAN L. SNYDER,

ORDER

Plaintiff,

06-C-394-C

v.

ER HFAD

REVLON, INC., REVLON CONSUMER PRODUCTS CORPORATION, HOT HEAD UNIVERSAL, LTD., HOT HEAD/UNIVERSAL, LTD., HOT HEAD NORTH AMERICA, INC., SPILO WORLDWIDE, INC., MARKETX, INC., KOMB LTD., KOMB UNLIMITED, UNIVERSAL PRODUCTS (LYTHAM) MANUFACTURING, LTD. and ASSOCIATION OF UK SALON OWNERS LIMITED,

Defendants.

Three motions have been filed recently in this lawsuit: (1) a motion to transfer venue under 28 U.S.C. § 1404 filed by defendants Revlon, Inc. and Revlon Consumer Products Corporation, (2) a motion to dismiss under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2) filed by defendant Market X; and (3) a motion to dismiss under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2) filed by defendant Hot Head Universal. Having reviewed all three motions and the Revlon defendants' letter in opposition to staying a decision on their motion to transfer, dkt. # 26, I conclude that the most efficient course of action is to stay a decision on the motion to transfer pending resolution of defendants Market X's and Hot Head Universal's motions to dismiss this case against them pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2). Both motions will be ripe by March 3, 2007; the slight delay in deciding the motion to transfer venue will not unduly delay the proceedings. Moreover, in the event that both dismissal and transfer are appropriate, staying a decision on the motion to transfer will prevent defendants over whom this court lacks jurisdiction from being dragged needlessly into a new forum. Consequently, IT IS ORDERED that a decision on the motion to transfer of defendants Revlon, Inc. and Revlon Consumer Products Corporation is STAYED pending a decision on the motions to dismiss of defendants Market X and Hot Head Universal.

Entered this 30th day of January, 2007.

BY THE COURT: /s/ BARBARA B. CRABB District Judge