

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

RAYMOND BRESSETTE, #217468,

Plaintiff,

v.

OFFICER STEVE KNUDSEN, *et al.*,

Defendants.

ORDER

06-C-280-C

Before the court is plaintiff's most recent "motion for default" which actually is a motion for Rule 37(b) sanctions based on defendants' alleged failures in discovery.

Plaintiff has filed similar motions in this case, most of which were baseless. Defendants recently conceded error regarding disclosure of insurance policies, but otherwise defendants consistently have claimed that they are current in their discovery obligations. Plaintiff's motion does not impeach this claim. It is conclusory, unsupported and it invokes discovery requests already reviewed by the court. A party may resubmit a discovery dispute to the court if circumstances change, but there is no indication of that here. The preliminary pretrial conference order requires a party filing a discovery to submit his "other documents that show why the court should grant the motion." Dkt. 6 at 10. Plaintiff has not done that, so he is not entitled to relief.

It is ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for discovery sanctions (dkt. 63) is DENIED.

Dated this 25th day of January, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER
Magistrate Judge