

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

EMIL ROTH,

Petitioner,

v.

SECURA INSURANCE and
MATT TORGERSON,

Respondents.

ORDER
06-C-271-S

Petitioner requests leave to proceed in forma pauperis. Attached to his affidavit of indigency is a proposed complaint.

Petitioner's allegations are unclear. It appears he is challenging a state court decision. This Court does not have subject matter jurisdiction of appeals from state court actions. Accordingly, petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis will be dismissed and denied without prejudice.

Petitioner is advised that in any future proceedings in this matter he must offer argument not cumulative of that already provided to undermine this Court's conclusion that his claim must be dismissed. See Newlin v. Helman, 123 F.3d 429, 433 (7th Cir. 1997).

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis is DENIED and DISMISSED without prejudice.

Entered this 22nd day of May, 2006.

BY THE COURT:

S/
JOHN C. SHABAZ
District Judge

