

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

PRIORITY RECORDS LLC, a California
limited liability company; ELEKTRA
ENTERTAINMENT GROUP INC., a
Delaware corporation; UMG
RECORDINGS, INC., a Delaware
corporation; BMG MUSIC, a New York
general partnership; ARISTA RECORDS
LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and
SONY BMG MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT,
a Delaware general partnership,

Plaintiffs,

v.

NICOLE LABUGUEN,

Defendant.

ORDER

05-C-677-C

Defendant Nicole Labuguen, who is proceeding pro se in this action against her, has written the court to advise it that she failed to respond to plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment by the deadline established for her to do so because she "did not understand all the paper work that was sent" to her with the magistrate judge's March 6, 2006, preliminary pretrial conference order. She asks for a second opportunity to oppose plaintiffs' motion and suggests that if she gets more time, she will "need to get someone to help [her] in this matter."

Defendant has known about plaintiffs' claims against her since January 4, 2006, when she was served with plaintiffs' complaint. She has known since early March that she would

be required to defend against a dispositive motion if such a motion were to be filed. Her failure to secure counsel to represent her before now is not a ground for granting an extension of the schedule for briefing plaintiffs' motion. Because I am unwilling to unduly delay resolution of plaintiffs' motion on the off chance that defendant succeeds in hiring a lawyer to take her case at this late date, I will reset the schedule for briefing plaintiffs' motion to allow defendant two weeks from the date of this order in which to oppose plaintiffs' motion. Defendant is reminded that her responses to plaintiffs' proposed findings of fact must conform in every respect to this court's summary judgment procedures, a copy of which was sent to her with the Magistrate Judge's March 6, 2006 order. In the event defendant has misplaced that order, I am enclosing another copy of the procedures to her with a copy of this order.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that defendant may have an enlargement of time to November 2, 2006, in which to serve and file a response to plaintiffs' proposed findings of fact, a brief in opposition to the motion for summary judgment and evidentiary materials meeting the requirements of Summary Judgment Procedure I.C.1.a. through f. Plaintiffs may have until November 13, 2006, in which to serve and file a reply. A second copy of this court's

summary judgment procedures is enclosed to defendant with a copy of this order.

Entered this 18th day of October, 2006.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

BARBARA B. CRABB

District Judge