## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

THOMAS W. REIMANN,

ORDER

Plaintiff,

05-C-501-C

v.

DAVID ROCK, JOHN PAQUIN, MS. TIERNEY, CATHERINE FERREY and LIZZIE TEGELS,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Plaintiff Thomas Reimann's motion for reconsideration of this court's order of January 30, 2006, denying his motion to amend his complaint is DENIED. I suggest that plaintiff begin to concentrate on gathering evidence to prove the claims on which he already has been allowed to proceed, rather than focusing his energy on attempting to clarify claims on which he already has been allowed to proceed or reasserting a slightly modified claim of retaliation against defendant Rock, which does nothing to change my view that plaintiff has

not exhausted his retaliation claim against Rock as required under 42 U.S.C. § 1997e.

Entered this 23rd day of February, 2006.

BY THE COURT: /s/ BARBARA B. CRABB District Judge