

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

-----  
JAIMIE L. DREWS,

Petitioner,

v.

WJJO 94.1  
JOHNNY, GREG and MARY SHOW'  
BRUCE WILLIS FORUM; and  
LEE GILLIS,

Respondents.

ORDER

05-C-426-C

-----  
JAIMIE L. DREWS,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION,  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,  
PRESIDENT BUSH (WHITE HOUSE), and  
UNITED STATES CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY (CIA),

Respondents.

ORDER

05-C-427-C

-----  
Petitioner Jaimie L. Drews has requested leave to proceed in forma pauperis in these

actions on complaints purportedly raising a claim of copyright infringement against the FBI, President Bush, the CIA and the Department of Justice, the Johnny, Greg and Mary Show at WJJO 94.1 radio, the Bruce Willis Forum and Lee Gillis, who petitioner says is also known as “Lgace from the forum site.” In addition, petitioner alleges that she and her children have been subjected to an “L.I.D.A. Machine,” which is “used for mind control and war tactics.”

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2), I have reviewed petitioner’s complaints to determine whether the actions must be dismissed at the outset. I conclude that petitioner will not be allowed to proceed in forma pauperis because this court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over her claims.

Federal courts can hear only those cases that Congress empowers them to hear. Generally, federal courts have the power to hear two types of cases: (1) cases in which the plaintiff alleges a violation of his or her constitutional rights or rights established under federal law and (2) cases in which a citizen of one state alleges a violation of his or her rights established under state law by a citizen of another state and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. See 28 U.S.C. § 1331-32. Petitioner’s claims do not fall into either category. She alleges no facts suggesting she holds a copyright of any kind that the named respondents have infringed and her allegations concerning mind control are fantastical. In other words, her claims are so implausible as not to involve a federal controversy. Steel

Company v. Citizens for a Better Environment, 523 U.S. 83 (1998); Oneida Indian Nation of New York v. County of Oneida, 414 U.S. 662, 666 (1974)). Because petitioner's complaints contain no credible allegations of fact supporting her belief that respondents have violated her rights under federal or state law, petitioner has failed to establish a "case or controversy" within the meaning of Article III of the Constitution. Accordingly, her requests for leave to proceed in forma pauperis will be denied and these cases will be dismissed on the court's own motion for lack of jurisdiction.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that petitioner Jaimie L. Drews's requests for leave to proceed in forma pauperis are DENIED and these actions are DISMISSED on the court's own motion for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. The Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment dismissing these cases.

Entered this 29th day of July, 2005.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

BARBARA B. CRABB  
District Judge