

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

In re: MARK WOODARD,

Plaintiff,

ORDER

05-C-0289-C

This action was dismissed on May 19, 2005, because the pleading did not conform with Fed. R. Civ. P. 10 and did not allege facts revealing a basis for federal jurisdiction. Now plaintiff has filed a document titled "Motion for a more Definite Statement," in which he appears to be seeking an explanation for this court's earlier order. I construe the motion as a motion to alter or amend the judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 59.

Regrettably, plaintiff's motion is largely unintelligible. Possibly he is arguing that it was error to overlook constitutional claims in the complaint. However, the argument is not persuasive. Even now, plaintiff has not identified a potential constitutional claim.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff's "Motion for a more Definite Statement," construed as a motion pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 59 to alter or amend the

May 19, 2005 judgment is DENIED.

Entered this 1st day of June, 2005.

BY THE COURT:
/s/
BARBARA B. CRABB
District Judge