IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

ALEKSANDRA CICHOWSKI and CEZARY CICHOWSKI,

ORDER

Plaintiffs,

05-C-262-C

v.

FRED D. HOLLENBECK and TOM CASEY and CURAN HOLLENBECK AND ORTON, S.C.; THE BANK OF MAUSTON; ROBERT FAIT, President, Bank of Mauston; TOM SCHMIDT, Bank of Mauston; KELLY HONNOLD, Bank of Mauston; SCOT SCHMIDT and SAUK COUNTY, WISCONSIN; DONNA MUELLER, Clerk of Court of Sauk County; CARRIE, Civil Litigation Clerk; PAGGY, Financial Clerk;

HONORABLE JUDGE GUY REYOLDS and

HONORABLE JUDGE EVENSON, Sauk County;

GENE WIEGEND, County Coordinator, Baraboo;

BRANDT BAILEY, Baraboo;

WAYNE MAFFEL and

CROSS, JENKS, MERCER AND MAFFEI, Baraboo;

M & I BANK and DAVE GITTER, Bank President;

KETTY W. BAUER and DEBRA KING, Appleton;

MARK L. KRUEGER and WILLIAM GREENHALGH

and GREENHALGH AND KRUEGER, S.C., Baraboo;

ADELA LUCARZ and JOSEPH LUCARZ, Baraboo; and

TRUDI DELAIN and MADISON FREELANCE REPORTERS,

Madison,

Defenda	nts.
---------	------

In an order dated October 3, 2006, I directed plaintiff Aleksandra Cichowski to complete a form for an affidavit of indigency in support of her request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis on an appeal from the judgment entered in this case. In the same order, I told her that I would not certify her appeal as having been taken in bad faith. Now Aleksandra Cichowski has submitted a financial affidavit. Unfortunately, plaintiff's answers to the questions on the form are so incomplete that I cannot determine her qualifications for pauper status. She indicates that she does not have a job from which she earns wages but that she cares for her grandchildren and is attending Viterbo University. She states that her only income is \$200 a month in "gifts." She has declined to answer questions asking how much she earned a month when she was previously employed, how much her husband earns and how much cash or money in a savings or checking account she has.

In view of plaintiff's reluctance to disclose fully how she pays for her basic necessities such as food, clothing and shelter, and how she can afford to attend college, I am unable to conclude that she qualifies for indigent status on appeal.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff Aleksandra Cichowski's motion for leave to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u> on appeal is DENIED for her failure to show that she qualifies

financially for pauper status.

Entered this 25th day of October, 2006.

BY THE COURT: /s/ BARBARA B. CRABB District Judge