

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

-----  
GEORGE J. LAZARIS,

Plaintiff,

v.

FERN SPRINGS, DR. ANKARLO,  
DR. LARSON, MATTHEW FRANK,  
CPT. TEGEL and JANE DOE,

Defendants.  
-----

ORDER

04-C-844-C

In an order dated March 25, 2005, I granted plaintiff George J. Lazaris leave to proceed on four claims. I then sent to plaintiff with a copy of the order six copies of plaintiff's original complaint and his first two complaint supplements for service on defendants. Now plaintiff has sent the court a letter stating that the copies he received for service did not include attachments he submitted with one of his supplements of the complaint. I understand plaintiff to be referring to documentation of exhaustion of administrative remedies that is attached to a document plaintiff marked as "Exhibit C-1."

It is not the practice of this court to consider exhaustion documentation as part of the complaint. These documents are being held in the file of this case in the event respondents

wish to examine them. In any event, to clarify precisely what constitutes the operative complaint in this case, I am sending plaintiff seven copies of the document this court considers as his complaint. One copy is for plaintiff's own records; the others are to be served on defendants with copies of this court's March 25, 2005 order. Plaintiff should proceed promptly in executing service of process pursuant to the procedures described in the memo I sent him with the March 25 order.

Entered this 27th day of April, 2005.

BY THE COURT:  
/s/  
BARBARA B. CRABB  
District Judge