IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

WILLIAM C. FRAZIER, FRAZIER INDUSTRIES, INC. and AIRBURST TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

ORDER

Plaintiffs,

04-C-0315-C

v.

LAYNE CHRISTENSEN COMPANY, and PROWELL TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.,

Defendants.

The parties have submitted for court approval a proposed protective order. The order cannot be signed because the proposed order leaves to the parties the unlimited ability to designate material as confidential. The parties should read <u>Citizens First National Bank of Princeton v. Cincinnati Ins. Co.</u>, 178 F.3d 943 (7th Cir. 1999), and <u>Jepson, Inc. v. Makita Electric Works, Ltd.</u>, 30 F.3d 854 (7th Cir. 1994), and then redraft their proposed order in accordance with those opinions. The parties should set out the bases on which they believe that the court can find good cause to allow the parties to maintain the confidentiality of certain documents or portions of documents and explain how they propose to limit the

material for which they seek confidentiality to matters that are genuinely eligible for such a label.

Entered this 24th day of August, 2004.

BY THE COURT:

BARBARA B. CRABB District Judge