

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

NORTHERN CROSSARM CO. INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHEMICAL SPECIALTIES, INC.,

Defendant.

ORDER

03-C-415-C

Both sides have filed and are briefing early summary judgment motions, and plaintiff has asked for a slight modification of the briefing schedule. Plaintiff asks to combine its reply in support of its own motion with its response in opposition to defendants' motion and file a consolidated brief and supporting materials on December 15, 2003. Defendant's reply in support of its summary judgment motion would be due December 31, 2003.

The motion is GRANTED with this understanding: plaintiff must sufficiently demarcate its submissions to ensure compliance with the court's summary judgment procedures, thereby ensuring the clarity of plaintiff's presentation. Plaintiff may file a consolidated brief, but it must organize it in a manner that allows the court easily to discern which arguments are in reply, which are in response, and which are both. Similarly, plaintiff must maintain the separation between those supporting documents offered in reply and those offered in response.

Entered this 8th day of December, 2003.

BY THE COURT:

STEPHEN L. CROCKER
Magistrate Judge