

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

DEAN BRIGGS,

Petitioner,

v.

ORDER

03-C-278-C

DONALD W. GUDMANSON; PHIL KINGSTON;
PEGGY S. THRAN; DONNA L. BRUGGE;
LYN JENKINS; THERESA ANDERSON;
MICHAEL BAENEN; BRIAN MILLER;
STEPHEN PUCKETT; TIMIOOTHY McALLISTER;
JON E. LITSCHER; BONNIE UTECH, and
GLORIA THOMAS, sued in their individual capacities,

Respondents.

On July 30, 2003, I denied petitioner's July 9, 2003 motion to alter or amend the judgment in this case. On August 4, 2003, petitioner filed a "Motion to Amend Rule 59(e) Motion," which is dated July 30, 2003 and appears to have crossed in the mail with the court's July 30 order. I have reviewed petitioner's amended Rule 59 motion and find that it contains the same arguments I considered in denying petitioner's July 9 motion. Indeed, petitioner explains that the amended motion simply enlarges the size of the font and deletes "overlapped arguments and paragraphs stating the same things." Because the motion to

amend makes no substantive changes in the arguments petitioner advanced in support of his motion, his motion to amend the Rule 59(e) motion will be denied as unnecessary.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that petitioner's "Motion to Amend Rule 59(e) Motion" is DENIED as unnecessary.

Entered this 5th day of August, 2003.

BY THE COURT:

BARBARA B. CRABB
District Judge