IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN		
FREDERICK ROGERS,	Plaintiff,	ORDER
V.		01-C-589-C
C. O. LOCKWOOD,		
	Defendant.	

Judgment was entered in this case on September 26, 2003, dismissing the action upon a finding that defendant was entitled to summary judgment in his favor. Now plaintiff has filed a notice of appeal. Because the notice is not accompanied by the \$105 fee for filing his appeal, I construe plaintiff's notice to include a request for leave to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis.

Plaintiff's request for leave to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u> on appeal is governed by the 1996 Prison Litigation Reform Act. This means that this court must determine first whether his request must be denied either because he has three strikes against him under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) or because the appeal is not taken in good faith. Plaintiff does not have three strikes against him, and I do not intend to certify that his appeal is not taken in good faith.

The only other hurdle to plaintiff's proceeding with his appeal in forma pauperis is

the requirement that he be found indigent and, if he is indigent, that he pay an initial partial

payment of the filing fee that has been calculated from a certified copy of his trust fund

account statement for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of his notice

of appeal. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2). Plaintiff has not submitted the necessary trust fund

account statement.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff may have until October 24, 2003, in

which to submit a certified copy of his trust fund account statement for the six-month period

beginning approximately April 3, 2003 to approximately October 3, 2003. If, by October

24, 2003, plaintiff fails to submit the required statement or show cause for his failure to do

so, then I will deny his request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal on the

ground that he has failed to show that he is entitled to indigent status on appeal.

Entered this 3rd day of October, 2003.

BY THE COURT:

BARBARA B. CRABB

District Judge

2