

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

---

YOZONS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

DOCUSIGN, INC.,

Defendant.

ORDER

15-cv-309-jdp

---

Plaintiff Yozons, Inc. filed a patent infringement suit against defendant DocuSign, Inc. in this court on May 21, 2015. Three months later, DocuSign moved to transfer the case to the Northern District of California. Dkt. 14. Although Yozons initially opposed the transfer, Dkt. 18, it has now withdrawn its opposition in a stipulation to transfer the case, Dkt. 35. The court will grant DocuSign's now-stipulated motion to transfer.

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), the court may transfer a case “to any other district or division where it might have been brought or to any district or division to which all parties have consented” when it serves “the convenience of parties and witnesses” and “the interest of justice.” The convenience and fairness inquiries require flexible, case-by-case analysis. *Research Automation, Inc. v. Schrader-Bridgeport Int'l, Inc.*, 626 F.3d 973, 977-78 (7th Cir. 2010).

The analysis here is simplified by the parties' stipulation. Yozons is a Washington company and DocuSign is a California company incorporated in Delaware. Neither has a strong connection to the Western District of Wisconsin. The parties apparently agree that the Northern District of California is a convenient forum for both the parties and their witnesses. Moreover, the case is still in its early stages and transferring it would not derail its

progress. Finally, the Northern District of California is fully capable of managing a patent infringement suit. Accordingly, the court will transfer the case.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff Yozon Inc.'s motion to file a sur-reply, Dkt. 30, is GRANTED.
2. Defendant DocuSign, Inc.'s motion to transfer, Dkt. 14, is GRANTED.
3. This case is transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.
4. Plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings, Dkt. 11, is DENIED as moot.

Entered November 2, 2015.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

---

JAMES D. PETERSON  
District Judge