IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

EPIC SYSTEMS CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

14-cv-748-wmc

TATA CONSULTANCY SERVICES LIMITED and TATA AMERICA INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION d/b/a TCA America,

Defendants.

Having reviewed plaintiff's amended deposition designations (dkt. #767), and defendants' amended objections and counter-designations (dkt. #781), the court makes the following rulings as to witness Srikanth Telkapalli. The approved designations may be presented to the jury unless the witness is available to testify in person. Plaintiff shall remove all objections and any other asides or discussions between counsel and/or with the court reporter, even where not noted by the court in its rulings.

SRIKANTH TELKAPALLI (September 14, 2015)					
Plaintiff's Designations	Plaintiff's Amendments to Designations	Defendants' Objections	Defendants' Counter- Designations	Plaintiff's Objections to Counter- Designations	Rulings
7:25-8:11	Retained	Relevance		Designations	Overruled
23:21-24:16	Retained	24:15-16 Not a question; Cumulative			Sustained, exclude 24:15-16; otherwise overruled
26:17-28:5	Retained	26:17 ending with "different way." Omit; relevance. Rule 403 wasting time; cumulative			Sustained in part, begin designation with "it sounds like" on 26:18; cumulative objection sustained as to 27:21-25, otherwise overruled
28:7	Retained	Relevance.			Sustained
28:13-29:4	Retained as to 28:13-24	Cumulative			Sustained as to 28:22-24; otherwise overruled
30:2-32:20	Retained	Cumulative			Sustained as to 30:3 beginning with "Do" to 30:6, 30:11-31:9 through "in a string", and 31:22-32:6; otherwise overruled
44:2-45:22	Retained as to	44:19-24 Rule			Sustained

SRIKANTH TELKAPALLI (September 14, 2015)					
Plaintiff's	Plaintiff's	Defendants'	Defendants'	Plaintiff's	Rulings
Designations	Amendments	Objections	Counter-	Objections to	
	to		Designations	Counter-	
	Designations			Designations	
	44:8-45:22	403			as to 44:2-
		(cumulative)			7, 44:11-
		reading from			14, 44:19-
		Exhibit 196.			45:5; begin
					designation
					at "the
					second page" on
					line 6;
					otherwise
					overruled
46:20-25	Retained	Cumulative			Overruled
49:16-50:15	Retained as to	Cumulative	50:13-15		Overruled
	49:16-19,				
	49:23-50:4				
52:1	Retained	51:21-52:1			Sustained
		Foundation;			
		Calls for			
		speculation.			
52:5-53:23	Retained as to	52:5-14			Sustained
	52:8-53:23	Foundation;			
		Calls for			
55 16 57 10	D 1	speculation			G 1
55:16-57:10	Retained as to 55:16-56:9,	56:13-57:10 Cumulative			Sustained as to
	56:13-57:10	Cumulative			56:24-
	30.13-37.10				57:10
	Withdrawn		63:16-18	Improper	Overruled,
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		32.13 10	counter-	but start
				designation	counter-
				<i>y</i>	designation
					at "how
					long did
					you"
64:6-24	Retained	64:24			Sustained
		Relevance; not			
		a question; no			
-5.0.0		answer.			
65:2-3	Retained	Foundation			Sustained
65:5	Retained	Move to strike			Sustained

SRIKANTH TELKAPALLI (September 14, 2015)					
Plaintiff's Designations	Plaintiff's Amendments	Defendants' Objections	Defendants' Counter-	Plaintiff's Objections to	Rulings
	to Designations		Designations	Counter- Designations	
	8	as not		- 6	
		responsive;			
		relevance			
65:7-25	Retained	Cumulative			Overruled
66:4-17	Retained	Cumulative			Sustained as to 66:4-6, 13-17; otherwise overruled
72:23-24	Retained	Relevance			Sustained
73:1-20	Retained	73:14-20 Relevance; Cumulative. Objection to form: vague; calls for speculation			Sustained, exclude entire designation
73:23-24	Retained	Relevance. Objection to form; vague; foundation; calls for speculation			Sustained
74:4-10	Retained	Relevance. Objection to form; vague; foundation; calls for speculation			Sustained
74:12-75:5	Retained	Relevance. Objection to form; vague; foundation; calls for speculation			Sustained
75:8-14	Retained	Form: Argumentative			Sustained
75:16	Retained	Relevance; cumulative			Sustained

SRIKANTH TELKAPALLI (September 14, 2015)					
Plaintiff's Designations	Plaintiff's Amendments to Designations	Defendants' Objections	Defendants' Counter- Designations	Plaintiff's Objections to Counter- Designations	Rulings
75:24-76:8	Retained	76:3-4 Asked and answered; 76:5-8 Calls for speculation. Cumulative			Sustained
76:10	Retained	Calls for speculation. Cumulative			Sustained
76:23-77:13	Retained as to 76:25-77:13	77:11-13 Calls for speculation.			Sustained
77:16-18	Retained	Calls for speculation. Cumulative			Sustained
77:20-25	Retained	Calls for speculation. Cumulative			Sustained
78:2	Retained	Calls for speculation. Cumulative			Sustained
78:7-15	Retained	78:11-15 Calls for speculation. Cumulative			Sustained
78:18-25	Retained	Calls for speculation. Cumulative			Sustained
79:2-5	Retained	Calls for speculation. Cumulative			Sustained
79:7	Retained	Calls for speculation. Cumulative			Sustained
79:14-19	Retained as to 79:15-19	79:15-19 Calls for speculation. Cumulative			Sustained
80:14-18	Retained	Calls for speculation. Cumulative			Sustained
80:20	Retained	Calls for speculation.			Sustained

SRIKANTH TELKAPALLI (September 14, 2015)					
Plaintiff's Designations	Plaintiff's Amendments to Designations	Defendants' Objections	Defendants' Counter- Designations	Plaintiff's Objections to Counter- Designations	Rulings
		Cumulative			
			83:24 beginning with "Can you" – 84:10	Improper counter-designation; if not improper 106; complete (must add 84:11-17).	Improper counter-designation objection overruled; incomplete objection sustained, add 84:11-17
			85:6 (beginning with "you see") – 11 85:15-16	Lack of personal knowledge; 106; complete (must designate 85:12)	Both objections overruled
87:13-14	Retained	Argumentative; calls for legal conclusion. Cumulative			Sustained
87:16-88:1	Retained	Argumentative; calls for legal conclusion. Cumulative			Sustained
88:3-8	Retained	Argumentative; calls for legal conclusion. Cumulative			Sustained
88:12-13	Retained	Argumentative; calls for legal conclusion. Cumulative			Sustained
88:15-17	Retained as to 88:15-15	Argumentative; calls for legal conclusion. Cumulative			Sustained

Entered this 7th of April, 2016.

BY THE COURT:	
/s/	
WILLIAM M. CONLEY District Judge	