

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

ANDREW DRAKE, on behalf of
himself and others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

AEROTEK, INC.,

Defendant.

ORDER

14-cv-216-bbc

On August 4, 2015, plaintiff Andrew Drake filed a motion for a stay in his class action lawsuit pending this court's ruling on the question of subject matter jurisdiction. Dkt. #101. Briefing on the jurisdictional issue will not be completed until August 21, 2015.

Plaintiff points out that his response to defendant Aerotek, Inc.'s motion for partial summary judgment, dkt. #96, was due August 4 and defendant's reply is due August 14. In addition, the filing deadline for dispositive motions is August 21, 2015. Dkt. #21. Although I understand that defendant wants to file an objection to the motion for a stay, dkt. #101 at 1, I see no reason not to grant the motion with respect to the dispositive motion deadlines in light of the fact that the court's jurisdiction is in question.

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The remaining deadlines for the pending motion for partial summary judgment, dkt. #96, are stricken.
2. The August 21, 2015 deadline for filing dispositive motions is stricken.

3. The court will address all future deadlines after it has resolved the question of subject matter jurisdiction.

Entered this 5th day of August, 2015.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

BARBARA B. CRABB
District Judge