

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

---

CHRISTOPHER LACOURCIERE,

Plaintiff,

v.

TODD BENISCH, *et al.*,

Defendants.

---

ORDER

13-cv-616-wmc

State inmate Christopher LaCourciere filed a proposed civil action against multiple officers and officials employed by Dane County, the Dane County Sheriff's Office, and the Dane County District Attorney's Office, as well as several insurance companies. On December 17, 2014, the court denied him leave to proceed and dismissed the complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A as legally frivolous. LaCourciere has now filed a motion to alter or amend the judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e). (Dkt. # 14.) To prevail on a motion under Rule 59(e), the moving party must identify an error of law that merits reconsideration of the judgment. *See Obriecht v. Raemisch*, 517 F.3d 489, 494 (7th Cir. 2008); *Sigsworth v. City of Aurora, Ill.*, 487 F.3d 506, 511-12 (7th Cir. 2007). LaCourciere does not show that the dismissal order was entered in error or that he is entitled to relief from the judgment. Accordingly, his motion to alter or amend the judgment will be denied.

The court notes that LaCourciere has also submitted a new version of his complaint in this case (dkt. # 15), which the court construes as a request for leave to amend. After a final judgment, a plaintiff may amend his complaint only after successfully moving to vacate or set aside a judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) or 60(b). *See Foster v. DeLuca*, 545 F.3d 582, 584 (7th Cir. 2008); *Dubicz v. Commonwealth Edison Co.*, 377 F.3d 787, 790 (7th Cir. 2004); *Camp v. Gregory*, 57 F.3d 1285, 1289 (7th Cir. 1995). LaCourciere does not demonstrate

that he is entitled to relief from the judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) or 60(b).  
Therefore, his request for leave to amend must be denied.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff Christopher LaCourciere's motion to alter or amend the judgment (dkt. # 14) and his request for leave to amend the complaint (dkt. # 15) are DENIED.

Entered this 13th day of January, 2015.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

---

WILLIAM M. CONLEY  
District Judge