IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

TCYK, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

DOES 1-14,

Defendants.

ORDER

13-cv-295-slc

In this civil lawsuit, plaintiff TCYK has alleged that defendants Does 1 - 14 have violated plaintiff's copyright on the motion picture "The Company You Keep." Currently before the court is a terse objection to this subpoena from a Doe defendant who does not further identify himself/herself (for instance, by Doe number) (dkt. 8), along with a longer "vehement" objection from Doe Defendant Number 12, who does not want his/her personal identifying information disclosed by the ISP to plaintiff (dkt. 9)

On August 13, 2013, Chief Judge William M. Conley entered orders addressing these same issues in two similar cases: *Breaking Glass Pictures, LLC v. Does 1 - 15*, 13-cv-275 wmc (dkt. 12) and *TCYK, LLC v. Does 1- 13*, 13-cv-296 (dkt. 14). I agree with and adopt the court's reasoning and conclusions in those two orders. As a result, no Doe defendant is entitled to have plaintiff's subpoena to an ISP quashed, but each Doe defendant is entitled to have his/her identity sealed and maintained in confidence pending further order of this court in this case. This order applies to all Doe defendants in this lawsuit, including those who have not filed objections or motions to quash.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

(1) To the extent that the Doe defendants have filed objections that seek to quash the subpoena issued by plaintiff to their internet service provider in this lawsuit, those objections and motions are DENIED.

(2) To the extent that these objections by Doe defendants were intended to seek a protective order maintaining the confidentiality of a Doe defendant's identity, those objections are GRANTED.

(3) The internet service providers who have been served subpoenas seeking the identity of Doe defendants in this lawsuit shall comply with these subpoenas on a confidential basis to plaintiff's counsel–on an attorney's eyes only basis for now–and to each Doe defendant separately and individually.

(4) Plaintiff's attorneys are prohibited from disclosing any identifying information of any Doe defendant except in a document filed under seal with the court, unless plaintiff first obtains express leave from this court.

Entered this 19th day of August, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER
Magistrate Judge