

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

CHRISTOPHER GOODVINE,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMENDED
SCHEDULING ORDER

12-cv-134-wmc

GARY ANKARLO, LIEUTENANT BOODRY,
OFFICER CONROY, JEFF HEISE, DR. JOHNSON,
OFFICER JULSON, DR. KUMKE, DR. McLARIN,
MICHAEL MEISNER, OFFICER MILLONIG,
CAPTAIN MORGAN, DR. NELSON, JANEL NICKEL,
OFFICER SCHNEIDER, OFFICER WILEY,
and OFFICER WITTERHOLT,

Defendants.

At a September 26, 2013 telephonic status conference, the court addressed several pending discovery matters and entered a scheduling order regarding: (1) plaintiff's motion to amend the preliminary injunction (dkt. #173); and (2) defendants' motion for summary judgment (dkt. #73). Based on the discussion at this conference, the court ORDERS as follows:

1. By the end of this week, defendants shall ensure that plaintiff Christopher Goodvine views a videotape of an incident that occurred on July 16-17, 2012, as outlined in plaintiff's Motion for the Court to Take Notice (dkt. #168). If the viewing does not take place as scheduled, plaintiff is directed to notify the court. Any further delay by defendants will be construed as bad faith and will result in sanctions.

2. Plaintiff's motion to compel a declaration from former correctional officer Pitel (dkt. #142) is MOOT.
3. Regarding plaintiff's motion to compel (dkt. #143), within **seven days**, and in any event no later than October 3, 2013, the defendants shall complete all of the responses that they were previously directed to provide (Opinion and Order (dkt. #171), at pp. 8-13), regarding the following discovery requests: (i) Interrogatory #1; (ii) Document Request #5; (iii) Third Request for Admission # 8; (iv) Third Request for Admission ##18-19; (v) Third Request for Admission #23; (vi) Third Request for Admission #28; and (vii) Third Request for Admission #32. Plaintiff is directed to advise the court if he has not received the defendants' responses to these discovery requests by the end of the day on October 3, 2013. Defendants failure to comply as directed will result in sanctions.
4. Defendants' motion to depose plaintiff pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(a)(2)(B) is GRANTED.
5. Defendants shall work expeditiously to arrange a date and time to take the deposition of Dr. Robbins in this matter. If the parties wish to use the courthouse for the taking of the deposition, they should contact Judicial Assistant Melissa Hardin to coordinate. Ms. Hardin may be reached at (608) 261-5718.
6. Defendants shall have 21 days after the taking of Dr. Robbins deposition to file (1) any supplement to their pending motion for summary judgment (dkt. #73); (2) a response to plaintiff's motion to amend the preliminary injunction (dkt. #173); and/or (3) any motion to amend the preliminary injunction.

7. Plaintiff shall have 30 days thereafter to file: (1) an opposition to defendants' motion for summary judgment; (2) any cross-motion for summary judgment; and (3) respond to defendants' motion to amend the preliminary injunction, if any.
8. Defendants shall have 14 days thereafter to file: (1) a reply to plaintiff's opposition to their motion for summary judgment; and (2) opposition to plaintiff's cross-motion for summary judgment, if any.
9. Plaintiff shall have 14 days thereafter to file his reply to the defendants' opposition to his cross-motion for summary judgment, if any.
10. After briefing is completed, the court may set a hearing date on the parties' motion(s) for summary judgment and the motion(s) to amend the preliminary injunction as necessary.
11. This schedule renders plaintiff's separate, pending motion for leave to file a motion for summary judgment (dkt. #162) MOOT.

Entered this 26th day of September, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

WILLIAM M. CONLEY
District Judge