IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

CAROLINE MORE,

TRIAL PREPARATION ORDER

Plaintiff,

12-cv-905-bbc

v.

WILLIAM MOORE,

Defendant.

Pro se plaintiff Caroline More is proceeding on a claim that defendant William Moore sexually assaulted her on December 8, 2010. The final pretrial conference and trial are scheduled for April 6, 2015. Although the deadline for final pretrial submissions was set for March 6, 2015, I will extend that deadline to March 16, 2015; responses will be due March 27, 2015. I am also ordering the parties to participate in a telephone status conference at 1:30 p.m. on March 16, 2015. This order will describe how the court generally conducts a trial and explain to the parties what written materials they are to submit before trial.

READ THIS ORDER NOW. Both sides must review the order very carefully; it contains important instructions and may answer many questions about the trial. All trials are complicated, so it is important to be prepared. By reading this order and following the instructions in it, the parties will increase their chances of having a smooth trial and presenting their evidence without interruptions for failing to follow trial procedures.

1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A. Courtroom Behavior	3
B. Proving the Claim	3-7
C. Witnesses	8
D. Trial Materials and Motions	9-10
E. Trial Overview	10-14
F. Damages	14-15
Appendices	17-24
Procedures for Calling Witnesses to Trial	17-22
Current Subpoena Rates	23
Procedures for Trial Exhibits in the Western District of Wisconsin	24

A. Courtroom Behavior

The court expects that the parties will behave in a respectful manner throughout the course of trial. This means that the parties should not raise their voices, interrupt each other (or the judge) or argue with a witness.

If this is your first lawsuit, it is understandable that you will mistakes. If that happens, try not to get frustrated. Instead, listen carefully to the instructions the judge gives you and try again.

B. Proving Plaintiff's Claim

At the trial, it will be plaintiff's job to prove her claim against defendant. Because plaintiff has the burden of proof, she will put in her evidence first. Plaintiff must present enough evidence to allow a reasonable jury to find that each legal element of her claims has been proven by a preponderance of the evidence. Plaintiff did not identify in her complaint or any other submission to the court what specific claim or claims she is bringing against defendant. Given the allegations in her complaint, she may be able to bring civil claims for assault, battery or intentional infliction of emotional distress. There also may be other claims plaintiff may bring under the circumstances.

Assault. To prove assault, plaintiff must show that (1) defendant intended to cause plaintiff physical harm; and (2) defendant acted to cause her to reasonably believe defendant had the present intent and ability to harm plaintiff. Wis JI-Civ 2004.

Battery. To prove battery, plaintiff must show that (1) defendant intentionally caused plaintiff bodily harm or offensive contact; and (2) plaintiff did not consent to the harm or contact. Wis JI-Civ 2005 and 2005.5. "Bodily harm" means physical pain or injury, illness or any impairment of physical condition. Wis JI-Civ 2005. Contact is "offensive" if a reasonable person in plaintiff's situation would have been offended by the contact. Wis JI-Civ 2005.5. For both assault and battery, the requirement that defendant intended to cause harm or offensive contact means that plaintiff must show that defendant had the mental purpose to cause plaintiff the harm or contact or was aware that his conduct was practically certain to cause the harm or contact.

Intentional Infliction of Severe Emotional Distress. To prove that defendant intentionally inflicted severe emotional distress upon plaintiff, plaintiff must prove that (1) defendant's conduct was intended to cause emotional distress; (2) the conduct was extreme and outrageous; (3) the conduct caused plaintiff emotional distress; and (4) plaintiff's emotional distress was extreme and disabling. Wis JI-Civ 2725. "Extreme and disabling" means that the person was unable to function in other relationships because of the emotional distress; it is not merely temporary discomfort. Id.

At the status conference on March 16, plaintiff must tell the court whether she will be proceeding on any of the claims listed above or whether she will assert some other claim against defendant. Plaintiff should keep in mind that whatever claims she chooses to assert, if she does not present sufficient evidence to prove those claims, defendant may move to dismiss the case under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 50(a) before he puts in any evidence.

During the period of pretrial discovery, plaintiff should have been collecting evidence to support her claims. When deciding what evidence to present to the jury at trial, plaintiff should remember:

• The jurors know nothing about this case.

The jurors have never heard of this lawsuit before coming in for jury duty. They have not reviewed the complaint, the evidence or any of this court's orders. This means that it is plaintiff's job to provide the jury with enough evidence and explanation to persuade the jury to enter a verdict in her favor. All the jury will know is what the parties present during the trial, along with the instructions provided by the court. This means that even if a piece of evidence was filed with a party's summary judgment materials or other court filings, the jury will not know about it unless one of the parties introduces it as evidence during the trial.

All factual evidence offered at trial must meet the requirements of the Federal Rules of Evidence.

Although it is impossible to predict which rules may be important in a particular trial, the most commonly cited rules are those relating to relevance (Rules 401 and 402), unfair prejudice (Rule 403), character evidence (Rules 404 and 608), prior statements of witnesses (Rule 613) and hearsay (Rules 801-807). If one party asks a question or offers an exhibit that violates these rules or some other Federal Rule of Evidence, then the other party may object and get a ruling from the court. The parties should review all of the Federal

Rules of Evidence before trial so that they know how to present evidence properly and raise objections to evidence that does not comply with the rules.

• The Federal Rules of Evidence limit the testimony of witnesses.

Witnesses may give testimony on any relevant matter when they have personal knowledge of that matter. In general, witnesses cannot testify about what someone else said outside the courtroom if the statement is being offered for the truth of the matter, because the accuracy of that statement cannot be tested by the opposing party. Those statements are called hearsay. There are a number of exceptions to this general rule against hearsay that are set out in Federal Rules of Evidence 803 and 804.

Documentary evidence will not be admissible unless the document is "authenticated."

Under Federal Rule of Evidence 901, a party who wishes to rely on a piece of evidence at trial must authenticate it, that is, show that the evidence is what that party claims it to be. For example, if a party wants to introduce a particular document, he or she may satisfy Rule 901 by calling a witness who has personal knowledge that the document is an accurate copy of the original. This is the most common method of satisfying Rule 901, but other methods are listed in the rule. Alternatively, the parties may stipulate, that is, agree, to the authenticity of a piece of evidence. The court strongly encourages the parties to reach

agreement before the trial begins about the documents they intend to introduce at trial, so that they won't have to argue about this in front of the jury.

 A party may not introduce affidavits into evidence or read from them at trial.

Affidavits and other written statements such as grievances cannot be moved into evidence to prove that what is said in them is true. Unless a written statement fits some exception to the hearsay rule, it cannot be used as evidence at trial. Instead, the party must call the witness who wrote the document to testify at trial.

Affidavits and other written statements may be used *against* a witness in certain circumstances. If at trial a witness testifies to facts that are different from the facts the witness swore to in an affidavit or some other document, the party questioning that witness may use the witness's earlier statements to challenge the credibility (the believability) of the witness.

Orders or opinions from this court, the court of appeals or the Supreme
 Court are not evidence.

The parties may refer to this court's orders and other case law in deciding how to prove their case, but they may not submit them as exhibits or discuss them in front of the jury because they are not evidence. If a party believes that a particular statement of the law

is relevant and important to his case, he or she may include it as part of his or her proposed jury instructions.

C. Witnesses

A copy of the procedures for calling witnesses to trial was sent to the parties with the preliminary pretrial conference order. Another copy is enclosed with this order. I presume that plaintiff will testify on her own behalf. Because plaintiff is incarcerated at the Dane County jail, I will order the Clerk of Court to issue a "writ of habeas corpus ad testificandum" directing the sheriff to bring her to court for trial.

Calling unincarcerated witnesses to testify at trial

If a party wishes to call a witness, he may ask the witness to testify voluntarily. If the witness will not agree, the party must subpoen the witness and pay the required witness fees. The court does not have the authority to waive those fees, even if the party is proceeding in forma pauperis. More details about calling nonprisoner witnesses are contained in the procedures for calling witnesses that are attached to this order.

Calling defendant to testify at trial

Defendant is not required to be present at trial in the absence of a subpoena. This means that if plaintiff wishes to call defendant as a witness at trial, she should ask defense counsel whether defendant will agree to be called as a witness by plaintiff without a

subpoena. If defendant does not agree, plaintiff will have to follow the attached procedures for calling unincarcerated witnesses if she wants that defendant to testify.

D. Trial Materials and Motions

The parties should comply with the following deadlines for the submission of pretrial materials:

- ♦ Motions in limine March 16, 2015
- ♦ Response to motions in limine March 27, 2015

Parties file what are called "motions in limine" to get rulings from the court before trial about evidence that they believe should be admitted or excluded. For example, a party might file a motion in limine to exclude improper evidence that the party believes the other side may try to submit. Motions in limine are not intended to resolve disputes regarding all pieces of evidence because most evidentiary objections about individual documents can be made during trial. But if there are disputes about evidence that could have a big effect on the course of trial, the parties may want to get a ruling from the court about this evidence before the trial begins.

- ♦ Proposed voir dire questions, jury instructions and verdict forms to be filed by March 16, 2015
- ♦ Objections to the opposing side's voir dire, instructions and verdict forms to be filed by March 27, 2015

♦ Exhibit list and complete set of all of exhibits to be used at trial — to be filed by March 16, 2015

Although plaintiff has received a copy of this court's "Procedures for Trial Exhibits in the Western District of Wisconsin," another copy of the procedures is attached to this order. In addition to following the exhibit procedures, the parties should exchange copies of their trial exhibits in advance of trial for two reasons. First, it will insure that each party considers carefully what documents he will need to prove the elements of the claims for which he carries the burden of proof at trial and then try to obtain authentication of the documents before coming to trial, if necessary. Second, it will promote the efficient conduct of the trial by allowing each party to examine the opposing parties' exhibits in advance of trial so that objections to the admissibility of the documents may be taken up at the final pretrial conference outside the presence of the jury. The parties should be prepared to explain at the final pretrial conference their reasons for objecting to a particular exhibit.

Note well: The parties should keep the original copies of their exhibits in their possession so that they have them at the time of trial.

E. Trial Overview

1. Jury selection - voir dire questions

The trial will begin with jury selection. The judge will ask all the potential jurors standard "voir dire" questions, which they must answer under oath. ("Voir dire" means "to speak the truth.") This is the parties' chance to watch and listen to the potential jurors

while they are being questioned so that the parties can decide which potential jurors to strike from the panel when the questioning is done.

The standard questions appear in the attachment to the magistrate judge's preliminary pretrial conference order. The parties may add questions to the standard questions by submitting their proposed questions to the court and the opposing party by March 16, 2015.

Fourteen possible jurors will be called forward. When the court has finished questioning these people, the judge will ask the parties whether they wish to ask any more questions and whether they believe that any of the potential jurors cannot be fair and impartial. After that, each side will be allowed to strike the names of three potential jurors. Plaintiffs will strike one name, then defendant will strike one name, back and forth three times until six people are removed, leaving the eight people who will be the jury.

2. Opening statements

After the parties select the jury, plaintiff will give an opening statement describing her claim. An opening statement should give the jury an idea of what the case is about and what the jurors will see and hear from the witnesses and from the exhibits that plaintiff will offer into evidence. The opening statement is not a time to give testimony or argue the case. What is said during opening statements is not evidence. Therefore, if one party begins to make comments that are more like testimony and the other party objects, the court will instruct the jury not to consider the statements that are like testimony.

Following plaintiff's opening statement, defense counsel is allowed to make a statement about defendant's case. Defense counsel is allowed to delay this opening statement until the beginning of defendant's case.

3. Presentation of evidence

After the parties have given their opening statements, plaintiff will present her case by submitting evidence. This is called plaintiff's "case in chief." Plaintiff may provide her own testimony, call other witnesses and submit documents that comply with the Federal Rules of Evidence.

a. Questioning witnesses

If a party calls a witness to testify, the party must ask the witness questions that are relevant to the claim or claims being tried. This is called "direct examination." The party may not give his or her own testimony during direct examination and he or she may not argue with the witness if he or she believes that the witness has given incorrect or improper testimony. If the party believes that the witness has not answered the question, the party may repeat the question or ask the question a different way.

The parties are reminded that witnesses other than experts are limited to testimony about their own observations. Therefore, the person questioning a witness should ask questions at the beginning about how the witness came to know the facts he is testifying about: Where was the witness at the time? Was the witness close enough to hear and see

what was going on? What reason did the witness have to pay attention to what was going on?

When the party is finished asking the witness questions, it is the other side's turn to ask the witness questions. This is called cross examination.

If the plaintiff calls herself as witness, she does not have to ask herself questions. Instead, she may take the witness stand and talk about the relevant facts of the case. Again, plaintiff will be limited to testifying about her own observations and experience.

If a party believes that a witness is giving testimony that does not comply with the Federal Rules of Evidence, the party may raise an objection with the judge and tell the judge the reason for the objection. If the judge agrees with the objection, the objection will be "sustained" and the witness will not be allowed to answer the question. (If the witness has already answered the question, the court will tell the jury to disregard the witness's answer. If the court does not agree with the objection, it will "overrule" (deny) the objection.)

b. Submitting exhibits

If a party wishes to offer a document as evidence, he or she must include the document in his or her exhibit list. Before the document can be shown to the jury, the party must ask a witness who has personal knowledge of the document to explain what the document is. There is an exception to that rule if the other side agrees that the document is admissible.

c. Defendant's case and rebuttal evidence

After plaintiff has presented her case, defendant may move to dismiss plaintiff's case on the ground that she has failed to present enough evidence to allow a jury to find that each element of her claim has been proven by a preponderance of the evidence. This is called a motion for judgment as a matter of law. Fed. R. Civ. P. 50. If defendant asks the court to dismiss the case, plaintiff will be given an opportunity to explain why she believes there is enough evidence to allow the case to continue. If the court denies defendant's motion or defendant does not move to dismiss the case, defendant will present his evidence.

After defendant is finished presenting his evidence, plaintiff may present additional evidence to rebut defendant's case. Any testimony or other evidence presented during this phase of the trial is limited to responding to evidence introduced by defendant. Plaintiff may not introduce new evidence simply because she failed to do so in her case in chief.

4. Closing arguments

After the parties are finished presenting evidence to the jury, both sides will have an opportunity to give closing arguments. This is not a time to offer new testimony. The parties should focus on the evidence presented during the trial and explain to the jury why they believe the evidence supports their position. In a closing argument, a party may explain why he or she believes his or her witnesses are more credible, why his or her evidence should be given more weight and what inferences, or conclusions, may be drawn from the evidence presented.

F. Damages

If the jury returns a verdict finding that defendant sexually assaulted plaintiff, the jury may award "compensatory damages" in a dollar amount that reasonably compensates plaintiff for the injuries or damages she suffered as a result of defendant's acts. It will be plaintiff's burden at trial to prove that she has losses that should be compensated. If plaintiff proves her claim but she cannot prove compensable harm, then she will be entitled to "nominal damages" of one dollar.

To recover "punitive damages," plaintiff will have to prove that defendant acted maliciously or with reckless disregard to her rights. If plaintiff satisfies the legal standard for punitive damages, the jury may, but is not required to, award these damages as a deterrent to defendant.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the Clerk of Court issue a writ of habeas corpus ad testificandum for the attendance of plaintiff Caroline More at trial beginning on April 6, 2015. Plaintiff should arrive at the courthouse no later than 8:00 a.m.

FURTHER, IT IS ORDERED that

1. The clerk of court shall set a telephone status conference in this case for 1:30 p.m. on March 16, 2015 and will make arrangements for plaintiff to participate from the jail. At the conference, plaintiff must be prepared to inform the court of the claims on which she will be proceeding at trial.

2. NOT LATER THAN March 16, 2015, the parties are to file and serve any

additional motions for the issuance of subpoenas or writs of habeas corpus ad testificandum,

together with supporting affidavits revealing the witness's willingness to appear voluntarily.

3. NOT LATER THAN March 16, 2015, the parties are to file and serve any motions

in limine they wish to bring. Responses to those motions are due March 27, 2015.

4. NOT LATER THAN March 16, 2015, the parties are to file and serve (a) proposed

questions for voir dire examination; (b) a proposed form of special verdict; (c) proposed jury

instructions; and (d) a copy of all exhibits and an exhibit list. The parties should not submit

copies of the standard voir dire questions and jury instructions attached to the magistrate

judge's preliminary pretrial conference order. Responses are due on March 27, 2015.

5. The court has the discretion to refuse to entertain special verdict forms or jury

instructions not submitted on time, unless the subject of the request is one arising in the

course of trial that the party could not reasonably have anticipated before trial.

Entered this 9th day of March, 2015.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

BARBARA B. CRABB

District Judge

16

PROCEDURES FOR CALLING WITNESSES TO TRIAL

At trial, plaintiff will have to be ready to prove facts supporting his claims against the defendants. One way to offer proof is through the testimony of witnesses who have personal knowledge about the matter being tried. If a party wants witnesses to be present and available to testify on the day of trial, the party must follow the procedures explained below. ("Party" means either a plaintiff or a defendant.) These procedures must be followed whether the witness is:

- 1) A defendant to be called to testify by a plaintiff; or
- 2) A plaintiff to be called to testify by a defendant; or
- 3) A person not a party to the lawsuit to be called to testify by either a plaintiff or a defendant.

I. PROCEDURES FOR OBTAINING ATTENDANCE OF INCARCERATED WITNESSES WHO AGREE TO TESTIFY VOLUNTARILY

An incarcerated witness who tells a party that he is willing to attend trial to give testimony cannot come to court unless the court orders his custodian to let him come. The Court must issue an order known as a writ of habeas corpus ad testificandum. This court will not issue such a writ unless the party can establish to the court's satisfaction that

- 1) The witness has agreed to attend voluntarily; and
- 2) The witness has actual knowledge of facts directly related to the issue to be tried.

A witness's willingness to come to court as a witness can be shown in one of two ways.

a. The party can serve and file an affidavit declaring under penalty of perjury that the witness told the party that he or she is willing to testify voluntarily, that is, without being subpoenaed. The party must say in the affidavit when and where the witness informed the party of this willingness;

OR

b. The party can serve and file an affidavit in which *the witness* declares under penalty of perjury that he or she is willing to testify without being subpoenaed.

The witness's actual knowledge of relevant facts may be shown in one of two ways.

a. The party can declare under penalty of perjury that the witness has relevant information about the party's claim. However, this can be done only if the *party* knows first-hand that the witness saw or heard something that will help him prove his case. For example, if the trial is about an incident that happened in or around a plaintiff's cell and, at the time, the plaintiff saw that a cellmate was present and witnessed the incident, the plaintiff may tell the court in an affidavit what happened, when and where the incident occurred, who was present, and how the witness was in a position to see or hear what occurred;

OR

b. The party can serve and file an affidavit in which *the witness* tells the court what happened, when and where the incident occurred, who was present, and how the witness was in a position to see or hear what occurred.

Not later than four weeks before trial, a party planning to use the testimony of an incarcerated witness who has agreed to come to trial must serve and file a written motion for a court order requiring the witness to be brought to court at the time of trial. The motion must

- 1) State the name and address of the witness; and
- 2) Come with an affidavit described above to show that the witness is willing to testify and that the witness has first-hand knowledge of facts directly related to the issue to be tried.

When the court rules on the motion, it will say who must be brought to court and will direct the clerk of court to prepare the necessary writ of habeas corpus ad testificandum.

II. PROCEDURE FOR OBTAINING THE ATTENDANCE OF INCARCERATED WITNESSES WHO REFUSE TO TESTIFY VOLUNTARILY

If an incarcerated witness refuses to attend trial, TWO separate procedures are required. The court will have to issue a writ of habeas corpus ad testificandum telling the warden to bring the witness to trial <u>and</u> the party must serve the witness with a subpoena.

Not later than four weeks before trial, the party seeking the testimony of an incarcerated witness who refuses to testify voluntarily must file a motion asking the court to issue a writ of habeas corpus ad testificandum <u>and</u> asking the court to provide the party with a subpoena form. (All requests from subpoenas from pro se litigants will be sent to the judge for review before the clerk will issue them.)

The motion for a writ of habeas corpus ad testificandum will not be granted unless the party submits an affidavit

- 1) Giving the name and address of the witness; and
- 2) Declaring under penalty of perjury that the witness has relevant information about the party's claim. As noted above, this can be done only if the *party* knows first-hand that the witness saw or heard something that will help him prove his case. In the affidavit, the party must tell the court what happened, when and where the incident occurred, who was present, and how the witness was in a position to see or to hear what occurred.

The request for a subpoena form will not be granted unless the party satisfies the court in his affidavit that

- 1) The witness refuses to testify voluntarily;
- 2) The party has made arrangements for a person at least 18 years of age who is not a party to the action to serve the subpoena on the witness; <u>or</u>
- 3) The party is proceeding <u>in forma pauperis</u>, has been unable to arrange for service of the subpoena by a person at least 18 years of age who is not a party to the action and needs assistance from the United States Marshal or a person appointed by the court.

If the court grants the party's request for a subpoena for an incarcerated witness, it will be the party's responsibility to complete the subpoena form and send it to the person at least 18 years of age who will be serving the subpoena or to the United States Marshal, if the court has ordered that the subpoena be served by the Marshal. The address of the United States Marshal is 120 N. Henry St., Suite 440, Madison, Wisconsin, 53703. If the subpoena is not received by the marshal <u>at least two weeks in advance of trial</u>, the marshal may not have enough time to serve the subpoena on the party's witness.

III. UNINCARCERATED WITNESSES WHO AGREE TO TESTIFY VOLUNTARILY

It is the responsibility of the party who has asked an unincarcerated witness to come to court to tell the witness of the time and date of trial. No action need be sought or obtained from the court.

IV. UNINCARCERATED WITNESSES WHO REFUSE TO TESTIFY VOLUNTARILY

If a prospective witness is not incarcerated, and he or she refuses to testify voluntarily, no later than four weeks before trial, the party must serve and file a request for a subpoena form. All parties who want to subpoena an unincarcerated witness, even parties proceeding in forma pauperis, must be prepared to tender an appropriate sum of money to the witness at the time the subpoena is served. The appropriate sum of money is a daily witness fee and the witness's mileage costs. In addition, if the witness's attendance is required for more than one trial day, an allowance for a room and meals must be paid. The current rates for daily witness fees, mileage costs and room and meals may be obtained either by writing the clerk of court at P.O. Box 432, Madison, Wisconsin, 53703, or calling the office of the clerk at (608) 264-5156.

Before the court will grant a request for a subpoena form for an unincarcerated witness, the party must satisfy the court by affidavit declared to be true under penalty of perjury that

- 1) The witness refuses to testify voluntarily;
- 2) The party has made arrangements for a person at least 18 years of age who is not a party to the action to serve the subpoena on the witness; <u>or</u>

- 3) The party is proceeding <u>in forma pauperis</u>, has been unable to arrange for service of the subpoena by a person at least 18 years of age who is not a party to the action and needs assistance from the United States Marshal or a person appointed by the court; <u>and</u>
- 4) The party is prepared to tender to the marshal or other individual serving the subpoena a check or money order made payable to the witness in an amount necessary to cover the daily witness fee and the witness's mileage, as well as costs for room and meals if the witness's appearance at trial will require an overnight stay.

If the court grants the party's request for a subpoena for an unincarcerated witness, it will be the party's responsibility to complete the subpoena form and send it to the person at least 18 years of age who will be serving the subpoena or to the United States Marshal, if the court has ordered that the subpoena be served by the marshal, together with the necessary check or money order. The address of the United States Marshal is 120 N. Henry St., Suite 440, Madison, Wisconsin, 53703. If the subpoena is not received by the marshal at least two weeks in advance of trial, the marshal may not have enough time to serve the subpoena on the party's witness.

V. SUMMARY

The chart below may assist in referring you to the section of this paper which sets forth the appropriate procedure for securing the testimony of witnesses in your case.

WITNESSES

INCARCERATED		UNINCARCERATED	
VOLUNTARY A court order that the witness be brought to court is required. Papers are due 4 weeks before trial.	INVOLUNTARY A court order that the witness be brought to court and a subpoena are required. A motion must be served & filed 4 weeks before trial. Subpoena forms must be completed 2 weeks before trial.	VOLUNTARY Nothing need be sought or obtained from the court.	INVOLUNTARY Pro se parties must obtain an order granting issuance of a subpoena. Papers are due 4 weeks before trial. Completed forms and fees are due 2 weeks before trial.

CURRENT SUBPOENA RATES (as of January 2015)

Daily Witness Fee - \$40 Milage - \$0.575 Room and Meals (Per Diem) - \$153

PROCEDURES FOR TRIAL EXHIBITS IN CASES ASSIGNED TO JUDGE CRABB

Before trial, the parties are to label all exhibits that may be offered at trial. Before the start of trial, the parties are to provide the deputy clerk with a list of all exhibits. Exhibits for use at trial are not subject to the electronic filing procedures, but are to be filed conventionally. Counsel are to retain the original exhibits following trial.

- 1. Each party is to label all exhibits.
- 2. If more than one defendant will be offering exhibits, that defendant should add an initial identifying the particular defendant to the label.
- 3. Each party is to submit a list of their exhibits. The party should state to whom the exhibits belong, the number of each exhibit and a brief description.
- 4. Each party is to provide the court with the original exhibit list and a copy of each exhibit that may be offered for the judge's use.
- 5. As a general rule, the plaintiff should use exhibit numbers 1-500 and the defendant should use exhibit numbers 501 and up.
 - 6. Each party is to maintain custody of his or her own exhibits throughout the trial.
- 7. At the end of trial, each party is to retain all exhibits that become a part of the record. It is each party's responsibility to maintain his or her exhibits and to make arrangements with the clerk's office for inclusion of the exhibits in the appeal record, if there is an appeal.
- 8. Each party should be aware that once reference is made to an exhibit at trial, the exhibit becomes part of the record, even though the exhibit might not be formally offered or might not be received.

Any questions concerning these instructions may be directed to the clerk's office at (608) 264-5156.

Entered this 19th day of May, 2006.

BY THE COURT:

BARBARA B. CRABB District Judge