

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

NATANAEL RIVERA

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL SCHULTZ, SAMUEL MENNING,
LAWRENCE PETERSON and JOHN DOE 1¹,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM

12-cv-240-bbc

Pursuant to an informal service agreement between the Wisconsin Department of Justice and this court, the Department has agreed to accept electronic service of documents on behalf of the defendants it represents. The Wisconsin Department of Justice has indicated that it will represent defendants Michael Schultz, Samuel Menning and Lawrence Peterson in this case. Therefore, for the remainder of this lawsuit, plaintiff does not have to send a paper copy of each document he files with the court to the Department. All he has to do is submit the document to the court, and the Department will access the document through the court's electronic filing system.

Once the identity of John Doe 1 has been ascertained, the Department will decide whether to accept service on his behalf. If the Department decides to represent John Doe 1, you will not have to send a paper copy of each document to him. If the Department does not accept service, you will have to send John Doe 1 or his attorney, a paper copy of each document.

¹I have amended the caption to replace Correctional Officer "Machael" Schultz with the name Michael Schultz, Correctional Officer "Minning" with the name Samuel Menning, Correctional Officer Peterson with the name Lawrence Peterson as identified in the Acceptance of Service.

Discovery requests or responses are an exception to the electronic service rule. Usually, those documents should be sent directly to counsel for the opposing party and do not have to be sent to the court. Discovery procedures will be explained more fully at the preliminary pretrial conference.

Entered this 12th day of July, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER
Magistrate Judge