

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

CHRISTINE PORTER,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and
SEROQUEL ASTRAZENECAUS,

Defendants.

ORDER

12-cv-119-bbc

CHRISTINE PORTER,

Plaintiff,

v.

IVAX PHARMACEUTICAL,

Defendant.

ORDER

12-cv-191-bbc

CHRISTINE PORTER,

Plaintiff,

v.

WALGREENS CO. and LUPIN PHARMACEUTICAL,

Defendants.

ORDER

12-cv-224-bbc

CHRISTINE PORTER,

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER

ADVIAR, BOEHRINGER INGEL HEIM,
FLOVENT, SINGULAIR MSD,
WARRICK BACHERING, XOPENX,
N.H. BOARD MEDICAL PRACTICE and
VT. MEDICAL BOARD PRACTICE,

12-cv-276-bbc

Defendants.

CHRISTINE PORTER,

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

JUDGE CRABB and FLOWVENT,

12-cv-277-bbc

Defendants.

CHRISTINE PORTER,

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

US ATTORNEY GENERAL,

12-cv-278-bbc

Defendants.

CHRISTINE PORTER,

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

WISCONSIN STATE MEDICAL BOARD,

12-cv-308-bbc

Defendant.

CHRISTINE PORTER,

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

JAMES GOODSETT, PAUL HICKS,
PHILIP KURLEY, GUPTA PANKHA,
ROD PETERSON, DR. ROWE,

12-cv-309-bbc

JAMES SEHLOFF and L. WILLIAMS,

Defendants.

CHRISTINE PORTER,

v.

FLOWVENT,

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

ORDER

12-cv-310-bbc

CHRISTINE PORTER,

v.

SOCIAL SECURITY,

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

ORDER

12-cv-315-bbc

CHRISTINE PORTER,

v.

JUDGE URBAN,

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

ORDER

12-cv-316-bbc

CHRISTINE PORTER,

v.

DR. JANJOUR, DR. KINCK,
DR. L. WILLIAMS, DR. WILK and
DR. BEDEKAR,

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

ORDER

12-cv-317-bbc

In a May 9, 2012 order, I dismissed each of the above-captioned cases filed by plaintiff Christine Porter because each complaint failed to properly state claims upon which relief could be granted in federal court. Also, to avoid further waste of judicial resources, I

directed the clerk of court to route directly to chambers without docketing any further pleadings plaintiff files in this court in order to verify whether they are comprehensible and raise claims that could be heard in this court. Now plaintiff has filed a motion to reopen the cases that states in its entirety, "Motion to reopen show me where one, drug is not toxic." As with plaintiff's other filings, the motion is borderline unintelligible and must be denied. Rather than continue to file complaints and motions with no chance of success, I strongly encourage plaintiff to seek the aid of counsel to assist her in her future legal endeavors.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff Christine Porter's motion to reopen the above-captioned cases is DENIED.

Entered this 11th day of June, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

BARBARA B. CRABB

District Judge