

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

SYLVESTER JACKSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

RANDALL HEPP, GARY H. HAMBLIN,
TAMMY MAASSEN, KENNETH ADLER,
DEBRA TIDQUIST, CARLA GRIGGS,
GEORGIA KOSTOHRYZ, GREG MEIER,
CHERYL MARSOLEK, BETTY PETERSON,
KEVIN CLARK and JODI DOUGHERTY,

Defendants.

ORDER

11-cv-774-bbc

Plaintiff Sylvester Jackson is proceeding on the following claims in this case:

- after plaintiff had surgery on his toes, defendants Kenneth Adler and Georgia Kostohryz refused to follow the surgeon's instructions for treatment;
- when plaintiff complained to defendants Betty Peterson, Greg Meier and Kevin Clark, they refused to do anything;
- defendants Carla Griggs, Adler, Kostohryz and Cheryl Marsolek eventually saw plaintiff, but they refused to provide any treatment for his feet;
- defendant Jodi Dougherty rejected grievances plaintiff filed about this issue;
- defendants Tammy Maassen and Randall Hepp refused to intervene when plaintiff complained to them;
- defendants Maassen, Hepp and Adler refused plaintiff's requests for treatment for his severe back pain; defendant Gary Hamblin refused to intervene when plaintiff complained to him;

- defendant Debra Tidquist ignored plaintiff's complaints of back pain and she refused to provide treatment after he had surgery, causing an infection in his feet.

Plaintiff has filed a motion for leave to amend his complaint to add new allegations regarding treatment for diabetes, depression and a rash, but they are so lacking in detail that it is unclear whether these claims can be added to the current case. Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2) requires a complaint to include "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." Accordingly, I will deny plaintiff's motion for leave to amend his complaint, but give him another chance to do so. In doing so, plaintiff should start with a copy of his original complaint, cross out any allegations he would like deleted from the complaint, and highlight any new allegations he adds. In particular, plaintiff should take care to explain what acts he believes violated his rights, and who committed those acts. Plaintiff will not be allowed to proceed on claims against "defendants" as a group; he must explain what each individual defendant did to violate his rights.

Plaintiff should also consider that he will be allowed to add new claims to his current case only if those claims are sufficiently related to the allegations on which he is already proceeding, or the claims are against defendants already part of the action. Fed. R. Civ. P. 18 and 20.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff Sylvester Jackson's motion for leave to amend his complaint, dkt. 30, is DENIED.

Entered this 27th day of June, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

BARBARA B. CRABB

District Judge