

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

SCOTT A. KONITZER,
a/k/a Donna Dawn Konitzer,

Plaintiff,

v.

GARY HAMBLIN, *et al.*,

Defendants.

ORDER

11-cv-426-slc

On June 20, 2013, this court entered a text-only order in which it denied plaintiff's request for another extension of her deadline to file a response to defendants' motion for summary judgment, this time to mid-June. Dkt. 239. On June 25, 2013, plaintiff filed a motion titled "Expedited Rule 56(d),(e)(1); 56(d)(1)(2) Motion to allow plaintiff to file amended Responses to defendants['] proposed findings of fact and to file her own proposed findings of fact, with supporting documents." Dkt. 240. This is simply another motion for an extension that rehashes plaintiff's equitable and procedural arguments. There is nothing substantively new or persuasive in this latest motion. This court gave plaintiff more extensions than it can keep track of, warned her several times that she would get no more extensions, then on June 20, 2013, kept its word and said "no." That ruling stands. Plaintiff's motion is DENIED.

Entered this 25th day of June, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER
Magistrate Judge