

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

RICHARD HOEFT,

Plaintiff,

v.

DAVE SCHULTZ,

Defendant.

ORDER

11-cv-387-wmc

In an order entered on June 25, 2012, I denied without prejudice defendant's motion to compel and directed plaintiff to provide complete responses to defendant's discovery requests no later than July 9, 2012. Now defendant has filed a renewed motion to compel discovery. Dkt. 19. In the motion, counsel for defendant states that neither she, nor anyone else in her office, has received responses to the requested discovery. Pursuant to the terms of pretrial conference order, plaintiff was given a deadline of July 25, 2012 in which to respond to defendant's motion. Defendant is entitled to the discovery he has requested but not received. Accordingly, I will grant defendant's motion to compel. Plaintiff will have just one week to provide all requested discovery. Plaintiff should take notice that failure to comply with this court's order shall lead to sanctions pursuant to Rule 37(b), which could include dismissal of his lawsuit with prejudice.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that defendant Dave Schultz's renewed motion to compel, dkt. 19, is GRANTED. Plaintiff Richard Hoeft shall have one week, until August 8, 2012, to provide all requested discovery. Failure to comply with this order shall lead to sanctions pursuant to Rule 37(b).

Entered this 1st day of August, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER
Magistrate Judge