

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

MARK ANTHONY OTT,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT
OF CORRECTIONS EMPLOYEES,

Defendant.

ORDER

11-cv-382-slc¹

Plaintiff Mark Anthony Ott has responded to this court's July 15, 2011 order in which I dismissed his complaint because he named as a defendant a group that could not accept service of his complaint and he did not include a short and plain statement of his claim. I gave him an opportunity to file an amended complaint that fixed these problems. Instead, plaintiff has filed a letter in which he seeks an extension of time.

His letter includes some of the missing information. He says that he wishes to sue Lt. Goettle because he "deliberately delayed my medical attention." However, he does not explain *how* Goettle did this or even identify the type of medical attention that was needed.

¹ I am exercising jurisdiction over this case for the purpose of this order.

He refers to migraines, but he does not say whether Goettle was involved in failing to provide care for those.

Plaintiff seems to be aware that his letter is not sufficient because he asks for an extension of time to file an amended complaint. He says that filing an amended complaint “would take longer than you can imagine” and he asks that his deadline be extended to October 11, 2011, when he is scheduled to be released from prison.

It is not clear why plaintiff believes he needs so much time to prepare his amended complaint. He does not need to do legal research; he just needs to explain what happened to him. He already is part of the way there: he says in his letter that Goettle delayed his medical care. All he has to do now is provide a few more details. In particular, plaintiff should include the following information in his amended complaint:

- (1) what medical condition he is suing about;
- (2) why he believes he needed treatment for that condition and what treatment he believes he should have received;
- (3) what Goettle knew about plaintiff’s medical condition;
- (4) what Goettle did to prevent plaintiff from receiving treatment;
- (5) how plaintiff was injured by Goettle’s actions; and
- (6) when all of this occurred.

I will give plaintiff a short extension of time to comply with this order. In addition,

I am sending plaintiff a new form complaint as he requested.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff Mark Anthony Ott's motion for an extension of time, dkt. #6, is GRANTED IN PART. Plaintiff may have until August 23, 2011, to file an amended complaint as described in this order.

Entered this 9th day of August, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

BARBARA B. CRABB

District Judge