IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

KEITH BEAUCHAMP,

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

11-cv-347-wmc

PAUL SUMNICHT, BELINDA SCHRUBBE and KENNETH ADLER,

Defendants.

In an order entered July 13, 2013, I denied plaintiff's two motions for appointment of counsel because I concluded that plaintiff has not shown that he is incapable of prosecuting this case on his own. Now before the court is plaintiff's third motion for appointment of counsel. This motion will also be denied.

In his motion, plaintiff states that the issues in his case are complex and that he has a learning disability. As I explained in my previous order, although plaintiff's case involves disputes over medical and surgical decisions and treatment, the facts and the applicable law both are straightforward. In addition, plaintiff has personal knowledge of the circumstances surrounding his lawsuit. I explained that if he does not have copies of documents he needs to prove his claim, he can use discovery to obtain any additional information he needs to make his case.

Shortly after the August 23, 2012 preliminary pretrial conference held in this case, plaintiff was sent the pretrial conference order, which included a copy of the court's procedures for filing or opposing dispositive motions. These procedures were written for the very purpose of helping pro se litigants understand how these matters work. Plaintiff must read over these documents, as well as the entire August 24, 2012 pretrial conference order, so that he

understands how his case will proceed and what his responsibilities are. Dispositive motions are

due by March 25, 2013. I encourage plaintiff to focus on preparing to meet this deadline.

Going forward, if plaintiff has questions about aspects of this lawsuit, he may write to the court

for clarification. So far, plaintiff's submissions have been well organized and coherent. I am not

persuaded that appointment of counsel is warranted in this case.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff's third motion for appointment of counsel, dkt. 26, is

DENIED without prejudice.

Entered this 25th day of January, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER

Magistrate Judge

2