

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

---

CHRISTOPHER M. SANDERS,

Plaintiff,

v.

MS. LUNDMARK,

Defendant.

ORDER

11-cv-206-slc

---

On June 29, 2012, the court granted defendant Lundmark's motion for summary judgment and closed this case. Now before the court is plaintiff's notice of appeal along with his request to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal. Because plaintiff was granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* in this court, he "may proceed on appeal *in forma pauperis* unless the district court shall certify that the appeal is not taken in good faith or shall find that the party is otherwise not entitled so to proceed." Fed. R. App. P. 24(a). I cannot certify that the appeal is not taken in good faith and I see no other reason to deny plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

Also, plaintiff asks the court to appoint counsel to represent him on appeal. Because proceedings before this court have concluded, this court lacks authority to appoint counsel for plaintiff on appeal. Plaintiff should direct his request to the court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff Christopher Sander's motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal, dkt. 116, is GRANTED.
2. The clerk of court is requested to insure that the court's financial records reflect plaintiff's obligation to pay the \$455 fee for his appeal.
3. Plaintiff should direct his request for appointment of counsel to the Seventh Circuit.

Entered this 17<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER  
Magistrate Judge